CUL
450

A
B
C
D
E
F
G
H
I
J
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

~~A. Cranmer vs G. F. Church et~~
~~Chas Bowers ..~~
~~J. E. Daly vs Wiechell~~ 8
~~Hing Hing vs Avakin~~ 10
Bochow vs Frank House et al 15
Burns v. Long 30
Bochow v. Rogers 36
" " Belle v. R. 52
Barrett Hicks & Co v. Fletcher 61
Brownell v. Malcolmson 64
Banta v. Macy 74
Burns v. Scorcen et al 80
" " Jacobson 82
Bowers " Walton 7
Burns " Brann 88
Buffalo Bg. Co. v. Johnson & A. 110
Black v. Harms 113
Boetner v. Ratto 116
Blackler v " 118
Bigelow v. Farrington 140
Burns " Junction Market 143
Baby v. Berry 155
Brokus v. Farris 170
Barrett et al " Burroug et al 173
Cedair v. Hogan et al 93 & 87
Alford-Coffman Co. v. Haun 121
Arakilian v Voorhies et ux 175
Cosgrove v. Hayden 25
Collins v. Wildy 38
Collins v. Mann v. Code 40
Clark v. Huff 67
Cable v. Griffith et al 69 & 70
Crocker v. Crank 73
Cranmer v Church 5
Chung, Hing v. Avakin 10
Caetano v. Amouriq 109
Culver v. Matheson 168
Crutcher v. Austin 170 1
Chisholm v. J. v. Cannon 178
Duck Tong v. Kam Far Low 16
Desmukes v. Ragan 42
Daniels v. Malcalson et al 60
Doolittle v. Scorcen et al 75 9
Dealy v. Wiechell 8
Daly v. Levison 94
Doolittle v. Lewellyn 101
Donahue & Co. v. Shortridge 106
Doolittle v. Harms 115
" " Ratto 117
" " Letz 120
Dunbar " Borden 125
Duell " Shepherd 128
" " Boren 132
Dunlap " Mutchler 142
Duck, Tong et al. vs. Woodson et al 145
Donahoo, & Co. v. Cadwell 153

Erwin v. Vincent 78
Evinger v. Pond 148

Fields v. Young 35
F.C. & D.Co v. Irwin 83
Farrow v. Matheson 86
Furnish v. Walton 100

Grady v. Bayley	21
Goodman v. Barnett	26
Grady v. Wolf	111
Gularte v. Nunes	138
Gans v. Mosher	149

Hilton v. Stillman	20
Hood v Thomas	48
Hart v Kennison et al	55
" " Burns et al	56
Hilton v. Witham	98
Huber v. Everest & Rearden	102
Hotchkiss v. Howard	112
Heath v. Knox	129
Hampton v. Fitzgerald Bros.	135
Hurley v. Lowry	139
Hansen v. Knudson 159 ano	158
Hurley v. Cutter	166
Harris v. Walton	172
Hotchkiss v. Ambrose et al	181

Ideal C. House v. Kuhns 32
Imperatrice v. Harms 114

Johnson v. Gardner 34
Dusty v. Hawn 41
Johnson v. Mitrovich 95
Jacobson v. A. Burns 99
Jensen v. Larsen 103
Johnson v. Judge 104
" " Smith 105.
" " Bruce 165
Jarrett " Fuller et al 177

Kohlberg et al v. Woodson et al 144
Kearney v. Cranmer 164
Leung, Kuey v. Kum Far Low 17
Loveall v. Turner 50

McGuire vs. Statham, Gales,
Alford v Thorn 97
McKay v. Hodge 146

In Masula Ors A P Bluefeather	14
Madsen v. Arneth	24
Miller v. Williams	37
Mahoney v Hocking	43
Morgan v Story	62
Mosely v Doreen et al	77
Macy v. Macy	96
Mood v. Crank	133
Pokeim v. Dunleavy	27
Pond v Hensley	54
Paccilla v Botto et al	63
Prussian Ins. Co v. Lehman	91
Pedlar & H. v. Stier	130
Pond v. Hensley	131
Petcovich v. Dixon	152
Porteous v. Shortridge	179
Naurse v. Kuhns	27
Noon v. Potts	31
" v. Rodgers & Taggart	39
Novotny v. Baird Bros.	137
Nourse v. Hilton	154
" " Kirkland	160
Offen v. Owens	28

Quesinby v. Redford et al 18
" " Stewart 123
" " Wright 124
" " Noyes 136

Ryan v. Van Aken 44
Reinhart v. Hart et al 45
Reiss v. Dorsey et al 81
Reise v. Harms 89
Rodgers v. Richards 92
" " Smith 108
Rogers v. Hollis et al 127
Richardson v. Crank 134
Riese v. Moreland et al 160
Rawlins v. Williams 163

A Toombs vs J H Brown 6
A B. Sabbick " H M alexander 12
A Schneider " Dolan et. al. 13
Shepherd v. Gibbs 19
Schorling v Collins 23
Switzer v. Cord 29
S. F. & R.R. v. Muller 33
Seropian v. Arakain 46
S. J. V. R. R. Co. v. Waterman 51
Schorning v. Schmitz 71
Stuft v. Crank 72
Silva v. Cordoza 107
Suss v. Matheson 122
Scholler v. Ambusler & H. 126
Spencer v. Martin 156
Scribner v. Burrough 174
Shamarian v Arakelian 180
Spining v. Hicks et al 59
Salter vs Dickinson & al 1
Truman & Hooker v. Walkins 64
Toombs v. Walden 69
Thrasher v. Woods 90
Truman & H. v. Henry 141
Tannahill v. Beck et al 150

Van De Mark v. Higginbottom 66

Yancy v. Spring V. A.D. 65
" " Oakland V. Co 75
" " Drew 76

Williams v Smith 49
Williamson v. Freedman 53
White v. Haycraft 57
Wilheimer v. Fletcher 84
Winham, Admr v. Barr 85
Williams v. Ratto 119
Whitaker v. Bonner et al 147
Weilheimer v. Gad 151
Washburn v. Wilkeson 157
Winblad v Parsons & H. 162
Weaver v. Fitzgerald Bros 169
Winchell v. Dutton 176

Ziegler v. Hinds et al 59

No. 7

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

George Salter Plaintiff

vs.

R. F. Dickinson and Mrs. R. F. Dickinson his wife Defendants

Action for work & labor done

Demand $152.00 + int on $100.00 @ 7% fr. Jan 1, 92.

Frank H. Short & Jackson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892	
May 31st	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
June 1st	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant R. F. Dickinson of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint; and on the defendant Mrs. R. F. Dickinson of a copy of the summons, in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on May 31st, 92.
Dec. 30	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant R. F. Dickenson; and a copy of said summons on the said defendant Mrs. R. F. Dickinson in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on May 31st 1892; and it appearing that said defendant Mrs R. F. Dickinson has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days has fully expired now therefore on motion of Frank H. Short, Esq. attorney for the plaintiff, said defendant Mrs. R. F. Dickinson's default is hereby entered; and it also appears that defendant R. F. Dickinson has since the service of summons in this filed his petition of insolvency. Wherefore on further motion of the said Frank H. Short, Esq, judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff George Salter and against the defendant Mrs. R. F. Dickinson for the sum of $152.00 debt and for the sum of $7.00 interest and for the sum of $8.20 costs of suit.
	Constables fee $4.20 Justices fee 4.00 $8.20
	Total judgment $167.20 Done in open Court Dec. 30, 92 Chas. R. Brown, Justice of the Peace.
1893 Oct 16	Execution issued and delivered to R. Murray

see page 2.

2

No. 2

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Kong Lung
Plaintiff

vs.

Wip Kee
Defendant

Action for goods, wares & merchandise.

Demand $ 61 30

J. E. Hamblett
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1892		
June	1st	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. B. Ashman Constable (J. F. T. Jacobsen deputy)
"	2	Summons returned & filed showing service.
"	"	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
"	"	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs paid.

David R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace

Salter
vs
Dickinson

From pg 1.

1893

Oct 19 Defendants file affidavits and move the Court for an order suspending proceedings on Execution, Motion granted and It is by the Court ordered that the Constable suspend proceedings on said execution until the further order of the Court

Dec 15 Defendant files affidavit of Mrs R T Dickinson on motion to set aside judgment.

" 15 Notice of motion filed —

" 22 Plaintiff files affidavits of D. R. Prince & G T Abbott

1894 Feby 20 Above motion submitted and denied

" 23 The judgment in this case is satisfied in full on compromise defendant paying $85.00 & Costs amounting in all to $104.20, Collected from Hobbs & Parsons $200 P&S to Dickinson $95.80 & receipted to him for bal. $104.20

H. Z. Austin.

No. 3

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. A. Smith — Plaintiff

vs.

J. Stover — Defendant

Action for Board

Demand $ 74 50

Attorney ... for Plaintiff

Attorney ... for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 June 8	Complaint filed.

Rec'd Summons from Sheriff. Served

No. 4

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. O. Linsley
Plaintiff.

vs.

T. F. Kennedy
Defendant.

Action Jur Board

Demand $ 74 50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 June 8	Complaint filed.

Rec'd Justice fee from Pltff. $2.00

H. A. Cranmer, Pltff
vs.
S. F. Church, Deft

(Continued from opposite page.

1892 July	7	The above action set for trial on July 18, 1892 at 10 A. M.
"	15	A subpoena for witnesses for the plaintiff this day issued. The said subpoena served, returned & filed.
"	18	At the hour set for trial A. L. Coats, Esq. attorney for defendant moves the court for a change of venue and files an affidavit in support of said motion. Said motion is by the Court granted.
"	23	Stipulation to have the above entitled action transferred for trial before Recorder Laning this day filed and papers forwarded to the Recorder's Court of the City of Fresno.

Daniel Stivers,
Justice of the Peace.

1892 July	30	Justice fee $8.65 this day paid by defendant.

No. 5

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W A Cranmer — Plaintiff

vs.

G. T. Church — Defendant

Action

Demand $

Wiley J Tennin — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1892		
June	16	Complaint filed and summons issued a copy of Summons and complaint with Original Summons placed in the hands of officer for service
June	20	Summons returned Exd filed showing personal service on the Defendant G T Church by delivering to Defendant a true copy of the Summons attached to a copy of the complaint herein on the 16th day of June 1892 service made by S S Crutcher Deputy Constable
"	21st	Demurrer filed Exd June 23rd at 4 P M Ord by the court for hearing argument on Demurrer
"	23	Demurrer overruled and Defendant given three days in which to file answer
"	27	by consent the Defendant is allowed one more day in which to file his answer
"	28	Answer filed
"	29	order made setting this case for trial July 7th 1892 at 9 a.m.
July	6	Original Subpoena issued
"	7	Affidavit for continuance filed and granted to July 18th at 10 a.m. by the court conditioned upon the Plaintiff and defendant each paying equally for a short hand reporter to take the testimony of two witnesses who were present and demanded that their testimony be taken and that they be allowed to leave the County James Balagar was sworn to take the testimony in short hand and transcribe it into long hand Reyno the interpreter was sworn. Reducendo Llanes was sworn and testified Objection was made to immaterial evidence. Sustained Jacinto Torres sworn Exd Testified. Objection made to testimony relating to notes Plaintiffs & defendant said Sustained adjourned

W A Cranmer Paid in full
Reporters fees $13,35 = $6,65

(Continued on page 41)

No. 1

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A Toombs and
Plaintiff
vs
J H Brown
Defendant

Action Civil

Demand $ 52.75

O L Everetts
Attorney for Plaintiff

S Jackson
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892	
June 20	Transcript and papers in above action transferred from Judge Crichtons court filed. The above entitled action is hereby set for trial June 22nd 1892 at 3 P.M.
" "	Original Subpoena issued and delivered to Jas A Ward
" 22	Original Subpoena filed
" 25	The hour for trial having arrived the court was called to order the respective parties with their council were present. The witnesses were sworn and put under the rule J H Tignin Testified I am manager of the Toombs hotell. is this bill a copy of your books Objected to as not the best evidence, sustained. did you have a conversation with Mr Kellett about his owing Fairfield some money individually, wherein he payed you some money Obj. overruled. order made for Tignin to bring Ledger A Toombs Testified Question Objected to & sustained H T. Tompkiss testified Did Mr Brown say anything to you about the bill when he paid you the $20.00 Obj. overruled. & exception And There Tignan was placed on the stand again did you make any other entry in your Hotell register except the first one. Obj. as the best evidence, sustained and Tignan ordered to bring the register, continued to 9 o/27
June 27	Court called to order all parties present Tignan called to the witness stand, did you receive any money from Kellett & Brown on Fairfields board Obj, Overuled $10. by Kellett & $20.00 by Brown. did you have any other Hotell register during the time fairfield stopped at your hotell, Yes. did you make any entries in at relative to fairfield Obj. not best evidence sustained. Defendant ordered to bring the other Register. The court ~~then ordered an adjournment to 1 80, of this day.~~

Continued on Page 7

No. 7

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Chas Bowers — Plaintiff

vs.

Isaac Walton — Defendant

Action Civil

Demand $40.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1892	PROCEEDINGS
June 23	Complaint filed and summons issued Copy Affidavit for attachment mad and filed Undertaking executed Justified to and approved. Copy of Complaint and summons attached thereto delivered to Constable Ashman together with the summons and Writ of attachment
" 30	Summons returned & filed showing personal service on Defendant of a copy of the complaint attached to a copy of the summons on the 23rd day of June
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing that it was served on Sam Small attaching all moneys goods effects debts due or owing or any other personal property belonging to the Defendant herein
" 30	It appearing that the said Defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit five days has fully expired Now therefore on motion of Plaintiff enter the Default of Defendant Isaac Walton and Default is hereby entered and on further motion of Plaintiff Chas Bowers Judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the Plaintiff Chas Bowers and against the Defendant Isaac Walton for the sum of $40.00 debt and for $13.70 costs of suit Done in open court June 30th 1892 Justice costs 7.00 C H Shannon acting for Constable cost 6.70 and at the request of D R Prince $13.70 Justice of the Peace of said Township
July 2	Execution issued
" 24	Execution returned & filed fully satisfied. Bal. due on judgment $4.85

8

No. 8

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J E Scaly

Plaintiff.

Led F. V. Et Vs E. C. Winchell

Partners doing business under the firm name of Led F. & E. C. Winchell Defendants

Action Civil

Demand $ 217.17

J E Daulittle

Attorney for Plaintiff.

E. C. Winchell

Attorney for Defendants

DATE 1892		PROCEEDINGS
June	25	Complaint filed & Summons issued
Aug	8	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy of the summons on the defendant E. C. Winchell and a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the defendant — Led F. Winchell; both defendants served in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Aug 6, 1892.
"	10	A written demurrer this day filed for defendants by E. C. Winchell, Esq.
"	18	The above demurrer set for argument at 9 A.M. Aug 24, 1892 and the parties interested notified thereof.
"	24	At the hour set the plaintiff and his attorney are present but the defendants not being present or not appearing for are hour thereafter the Court on motion of the attorney for the plaintiff J. E. Daulittle overrules defendants demurrer.
"	25	There being no answer on file or other appearance of the said defendants once the time allowed by law for such appearance having expired, the said attorney moves the Court to enter the default of the defendants Led F. Winchell and E. C. Winchell, which default is by the Court ordered entered and on further motion of the said J. E. Daulittle judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. E. Scaly and against the defendants Led F. Winchell and E. C. Winchell for the sum of $ 84 00/100 debt and for the sum of $ 8 25/100 costs of suit.

Justice fee $ 4.75
Constable's fee 4.00
$ 8.75

Total judgment $ 92 83/100

Done in open Court Aug. 25/92

Walter R. Prince

Justice of the Peace.

Rec. 10 1892 Remittitur this day filed
Execution this day issued.
" " "

1892

Aug. 25 Defendants granted a stay of execution for five days.

" 30 Notice of appeal this day filed.

" " Undertaking on appeal this day filed.

" - Justice fee paid & transcript of docket ordered. Papers transmitted.

No.

In the Justice's Court of ..... Township,

COUNTY OF ..... STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Continued from Page 6

..... Plaintiff

vs.

..... Defendant

Action .....

Demand $ .....

Attorney .... for Plaintiff ....

Attorney .... for Defendant ....

DATE	PROCEEDINGS

J H Brown Testified for Pltff. did you know that Fairfield was boarding at the Tombs hotell on the credit of Kellett & Brown Obj'. Overruled. Tynan on the stand. Advertisement in Fresno republican referred to which was ruled out for the present. Brown called again, Did you have a notice put in the republican of the dissolution of your partnership Obj as not best evidence. Sustained. Didnot you agree to pay all the co liabilities in that publication Obj', Sustained. The court ordered that the paper might be introduced as evidence. adjourned to 1P.M.

1,30 court called to order advertisement in republican offered and filed as evidence. Hotell Ledger offered and filed as evidence. Plaintiff closed then case Defendent moved for a nonsuit, which was resisted by plaintiff. and by the court denied Deft J H Brown Tetified for defence. Plaintiff, Objected to evidence referring to a suit of clothes. Sustained. Crossexamination Then were four Objections made to question as not being cross examination which were sustained

when you paid $20. on that bill did you look to see who the bill was charged to Objected to. overruled John L Kellett Testified in rebuttal. Did you inform Brown that you had arranged with the Tombs Hotell people to board fairfield at the expence of Kellett & Brown Obj as being leading. Sustained. ~~did~~ by State what conversation you had with Wm Brown with reference to Fairfield boarding at the Tombs Hotell Obj. as not being rebuttal. Overruled the case was then submitted and by the court taken underadvisement; After fully considering the facts and law in the premises It is by the court ordered that judgment be entered for Plaintiff Wherefore it is ordered adjudged and decreed that the Plaintiff A Tombs do have and recover of and from the Defendent J H Brown the sum of $11

10

No. 10

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Hing Ching
Plaintiff.

vs.

Awahni
Defendant.

Action

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892	
June 28	Complaint filed and summons issued

No.....

In the Justice's Court of ..... Township,

COUNTY OF ..... STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

..... Plaintiff

vs.

Continued from Page 9

..... Defendant

Action .....

Demand $ .....

Attorney ... for Plaintiff ....

Attorney ... for Defendant ....

DATE	PROCEEDINGS

Satisfied July 11, 1893 A. Z. Austin J. P.

... of $45.25 Dollars debt together with $21.40 Dollars costs of this suit

Judgment entered this 29th day of June 1892

A. H. Shannon acting for Ed at the request of Santa R Perrier Justice of the Peace of said Township

Justice costs $17.00 ✓
Constable fees 4.40 ✓

July 1893
July 1st on motion of Jackson attorney for Defendant a stay of execution is hereby granted for 10 days
" 11 Notice of appeal this day filed.
" 20 Undertaking on appeal this day filed.
" 23 Notice of exception to sureties this day filed.
July 27 Exception to sureties withdrawn by O. L. Everts Esq.
May 25 Remittitur from Superior Court filed .25
" 26 Memorandum of costs filed .25
" 26 Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable 1.25
July 11 The above judgment is this day satisfied in full by payment 3.20
A. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace 0.00

R. Maraldo Plff
vs.
A. P. Blackler Defft

(Continued from page 14)

July 1892
14 Defendant came into Court this day and moves the Court to recall the execution issued and set aside the default judgment and files an affidavit in support thereof. The Court grants plaintiffs motion for two days in which to file counter affidavits.
" 18 Plaintiff files no counter affidavits, the court this day sets aside said default judgment & recalls the execution issued.
" 21 The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff & costs taxed. Judgment paid to Plaintiff.
A. H. Shannon
Justice of the Peace.

12

No. 12

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A G Sablick — Plaintiff

vs.

H. M. Alexander — Defendant

Action Civil

Demand $ 85-00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1892	PROCEEDINGS
June 30	Complaint filed and Summons issued
" "	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment issued approved and filed
	Writ of attachment issued
" "	Summons regularly served on defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on June 30 1892 and on the same day returned & filed.
July 20	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant H. M. Alexander in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on June 30, 1892; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all and the legal time therefor (viz: five days) has fully expired, now therefore on motion J. E. Sublette, Esq. attorney for the plaintiff defendant's default is hereby entered; and on further motion of said J. E. Sublette judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff A. G. Sablick and against the defendant H. M. Alexander for the sum of $35-00/100 debt and for the sum $11.95 costs of suit.
	Total judgment $46.95
	Date in Open Court July 20, 1892
	David R. Prince,
	Justice of the Peace.

Justice's fees $6.95

Constable's fees 5.00

11.95

No. 13

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A Schneider — Plaintiff

vs.

E A Dalson & J Dow — Partner doing busines under the firm name of Dobbins & Sons — Defendant

Action

Demand $13.90

J E Doolittle — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1892		PROCEEDINGS
July	2	Complaint filed & Summons issued
"	7	Demmer filed the argument on demmer set for 4 P M July 9th 1892
"	9	the hour for trial having arrived counsel for the defence was present and presented his demmer. the court took it under advisement
July	12	Demmer sustained
"	18	An amended complaint this day filed and summons issued & delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable, (H. J. T. Jacobsen deputy)
"	21	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint on E. A. Dalson whose true name is J. A. Dalson; and a copy of the summons on Downs whose true name is C. A. Downs; both services having been made in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on July 19, 1892
"	"	On motion of J. E. Doolittle the proper names of the parties defendant are hereby entered in this action; - the are defendant J. A. Dalson, and the other defendant C. A. Downs.
"	25	A written demmer this day filed by A. L. Evans, Esq. attorney for defendants
Aug	1st	By consent of defendants attorney the demmer on file herein is overruled and defendant given ten days in which to answer.
"	3	Written answer of defendant this day filed.

14

No. 14

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

N Marsala — Plaintiff.

vs.

A P Blackler — Defendant.

Action

Demand $

J E Doolittle — Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE 1892	PROCEEDINGS
July 6	Complaint filed & Summons issued Affidavit and Undertaking for attachment made and filed Writ of attachment ~~filed~~ issued Summons returned and filed showing personal service on Defendant of a copy of summons attached to a copy of the complaint served July 6th 1892
" 8	Bond on release of attachment filed & order made to the Constable directing him the said Constable to release the property attached
12	It appearing to this court from the return of the officer indorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant A. P. Blackler in the Third Township of Fresno County on July 6, 1892; and it appearing that the said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days, has fully expired, now therefore on motion of J. E. Doolittle attorney for the plaintiff defendants default is hereby entered; and on further motion of the said attorney judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff N. Marsala and against the defendant A. P. Blackler for the sum of $86 57/100 debt and for the sum of $15 55/100 costs of suit.

Justice fee $6.95
Constable fee 8.60
$15.55

Total judgment $110 12/100

Done in open Court July 12, 1892

Dan'l R Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

1892
July 12 Execution issued & delivered to J. B. Gehman Constable
Sept 26 " returned & filed fully satisfied

(Continued on page 11)

(H. J. F. Jacobsen deputy)

No. 15

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. G Bochon — Plaintiff

vs.

Frank House et al — Defendant

Action

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1892		PROCEEDINGS
July	9	Complaint filed & Summons issued. Affidavid for attachment made & filed with check attached in lieu of Bond Writ of attachment issued & delivered to Constable
"	12	On this day Frank House of the firm of Frank House & L. Brown Copartners etc. come into court and to the court state that he is one of the defendants in the above entitled action and on behalf of the firm sued, asks that the court enter judgment for the plaintiff by the confession, in open court, of the defendants. Wherefore judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff F. G. Bochon and against the defendants Frank House & L. Brown Copartners doing business under the firm name of House & Brown for the sum of $276 83/100 debt and for the sum of $29 20 costs of suit. Justices fee $6.00 Total judgment $306 53/100 Constables fee 23.70 29.70 Done in open Court July 12, 1892 Daniel C. Tinker Justice of the Peace
July	13	Execution issued and delivered to J. G. Eckman Con. (S. S. Crutcher deputy.)
"	"	Summons returned & filed.
"	19	Execution returned partially satisfied. Costs paid and $181 25 credited on the demand in the above action.

16

16

No. 16

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Tong Duck Plaintiff.

vs.

Kam Far Low Defendant.

Action House rent

Demand $ 118 00/100

W. H. Grady Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
July 1892	14	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Eshman Constable.
"	15	Summons returned & filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Kam Far Low in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on July 14, 1892.
"	16	Defendants tried set this day for 2 P. M.
"	"	At the hour set the defendant and plaintiff are present and the trial proceeds: W. A. Shepherd and Kam Far Low are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and defendant respectively and submit the case to the Court for consideration.
"	"	The Court this day finds for the plaintiff. Wherefore it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that judgment be, and the same is, rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Tong Duck and against the defendant Kam Far Low for the sum of $118,00/100 debt and for the sum of $11.95/100 costs of suit

Total judgment $129.95/100

Justice's fee = $6.75

Constable fee = 5.20

11.95

Done in Open Court July 16, 1892

Daniel C. Finch,

Justice of the Peace.

July 1892 Writ of attachment returned & filed

July 19 Execution issued and delivered to J. G. Eshman Constable.

" 26 Execution returned & filed fully satisfied.

No. 17

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Kung Lung Plaintiff

vs.

Kum Far Low alias Kam Far Low Defendant

Action for money loaned & goods sold

Demand $260 cts

O. M. Leaton Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
July 15 1892	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ackman Constable
" "	Summons served, returned & filed.
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
" "	The defendant and plaintiff both come into Court and ask for an immediate trial- both parties claiming to be ready. Thereupon Joe Ah is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff; and Kum Far Low is sworn and testifies in behalf of the defense. The case is then submitted to the Court for consideration, whereupon the Court finds for the plaintiff.
	Wherefore judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Kung Lung and against the defendant Kum Far Low for the sum of $260 cts debt and for the sum of $13 25 cts costs of suit.
	Total judgment $273 25
	Justice fee = 6.75
	Constable fee = 6.50
	$13.25
	Done in Open Court July 15, 1892
	Daniel C. Prince.
	Justice of the Peace
18 "	O. M. Leaton Esq. attorney for the plaintiff comes into Court this day and moves that defendants true name Kam Far Low be inserted in the above action in place of the one now standing for defendant in said action. The Court thereupon deems that defendants true name Kam Far Low be inserted for Kum Far Low,
25 "	Execution issued & delivered to J. G. Ackman Constable (H. J. T. Jacobsen deputy)

July 15, 92 Writ of attachment & filed.

No. 18

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. O. Quinby — Plaintiff

vs.

J. F. Bedford et al — Defendant

Action for board & lodging

Demand $ 97 20/100

Thompson & King
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
July 1892 15	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable (S. S. Cruton deputy)
" 23	A general demurrer (oral) this day entered for defendants by L. F. Winchell, Esq..
Oct 11	Summons returned & filed showing service on defendant L. F. Winchell on July 18, 1892 and on the defendant J. F. Bedford on July 21, 1892.
" 12	The above demurrer set for argument on Oct. 13, 1892.
" 13	The above demurrer this day overruled by counsel of defendant L. F. Winchell and a general denial (oral) for defendants this day entered.
"	The above action set for trial on Oct. 20, 92 at 2 P. M. and the parties of interest notified thereof.
" 20	At the hour set for trial the said defendants Ed. F. Winchell & J. F. Bedford not being present nor making any appearance for more than one hour thereafter the Court order the case to proceed. A. O. Quinby is sworn and testifies. There being no further evidence to offer the Court finds for the plaintiff. Wherefore judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff A. O. Quinby and against the defendants J. F. Bedford and Ed. F. Winchell for the sum of $97.20 debt and for the sum of $10.00 Costs of suit.

Justices fee $4.00
Constables fee 6.00
$10.00

Total judgment $107.20/100

Done in open Court Oct. 20, 92

Wm. C. Sims.
Justice of the Peace.

No. 19

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. A. Shepherd — Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. Gibbs — Defendant

Action on a note.

Demand $200 with int at 1½% per mo. from May 12, 88 + $75 Attys fee. W. A. Grady Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	
1892			
July	15	Complaint filed	1.00
Dec.	2	Summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ackman Constable	.50
1893			
Mch	1	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1.50
"	1	Writ of attachment issued.	.50
Dec	6	Alias Summons issued and delivered to D S Ewing	.50
"	28	Summons returned & filed showing personal service	.50
1894			
Jany	11	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the alias summons attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant at the County of Nevada State of California on the 20th day of Dec. 1893. and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: twenty days has fully expired, now on motion of ~~W D Scott~~ D. S. Ewing it is ordered that the default of the said defendant Wm Gibbs be and the same is hereby entered	.95
		On further motion of said D S Ewing it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for -	.50
		Whereon judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W A Shepherd and against the defendant Wm Gibbs for the sum of $506.19 (Principal $200 - Interest $231.19 attorneys fees $75.00) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $10.70	1.00
		Total Judgment $516.89	7.20
		Done in open Court this 11th day of Jany 1894	Costs 3.50
		A. Z. Austin	10.70
		Justice of the Peace	
1895			
Feby	28	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to Events	.70
1896			7.90
Aug	21	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to Ewing	.70
Sept	14	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to Ewing	.70

No. 20

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Alice R. Hilton — Plaintiff

vs.

F. S. Stillman — Defendant

Action for Damages

Demand $ 39.30

Alice R. Hilton, Attorney For Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
July 18 1892	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable
" 21	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant F. S. Stillman of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on July 21st 1892.
" 23	A general demurrer (oral) this day entered for defendant by Jas. Gallagher Esq. Atty for Deft.
" "	Writ of attachment served, returned & filed.
"Bywaters" 27	The above action set for trial on July 28, 92 at
" 27	The above demurrer this day overruled and a written offer to compromise and written answer this day filed.
" "	The above action set for trial on July 28, 1892 at 4 P.M.
" 28	The parties at interest this day came into Court and by consent judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Alice R. Hilton and against the defendant F. S. Stillman for the sum of $21.00 debt and for the sum of costs of suit.
	Justice's fee: $7.00 Total judgment $33.35/100
	Constable's fee: 5.35 / $12.35 Time in open Court July 28, 1892
	Daniel A. Tinnin, Justice of the Peace
Aug 1 1892	The above judgment this day satisfied in full
	Daniel A. Tinnin, J. P.

No. 21

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. L. Grady — Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Bayly — Defendant

Action assigned accounts

Demand $ 250 00

Jas. Gallagher,
Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo. G. Goucher, S. F. Hinds and F. H. Short
Attorneys for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 July 18	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo Duncan, Esq.
" 19	Summons returned & filed showing personal service of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint on the defendant (whose true name is J. H. Bayly,) in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on July 18, 1892 by Geo. Duncan, Esq..
" 22	A written demurrer this day filed for defft. by F. H. Short, Esq.

22

No 22

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joe Pohein — Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Lindsay — Defendant

Action for goods, wares & merchandise sold & delivered

Demand $ 40 00 & int. thereon at 7% per annum from Nov. 1, 91

L. W. Poultrie Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
July	19	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. S. Ashman Constable (A. S. Crutcher deputy)
"	20	Summons returned & filed showing personal service by the Officer on the defendant J. H. Lindsay of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on July 19 1892
"	26	It appearing to this Court from the return of the Officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said Officer on the said defendant J. H. Lindsay in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on July 19, 1892; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all, and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days, has fully expired, now therefore on motion of L. W. Poultrie, Esq. attorney for the plaintiff, defendants default is hereby entered; and on further motion of said attorney, judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Joe Pohein and against the said defendant J. H. Lindsay for the sum of $40.00 debt and for the sum of $2.00 interest and for the sum of $15.15 costs of suit.
		Justice fee = $6.95 — Total judgment $57.15
		Constable fee = 8.20 — Done in Open Court July 26, 1892.
		$15.15
		Daniel Prince, Justice of the Peace.
Sept	16	Execution issued & delivered to J. S. Ashman Constable (A. S. Crutcher, deputy)
Nov.	16	Execution returned & filed unsatisfied.
Nov.	26	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
"	14	Execution issued this day,
"	26	" returned & filed partly satisfied in the sum of $20.00

No. 23

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo. Schorling
Plaintiff
vs.
Frederick E. Collins
Defendant

Action for money loaned

Demand $125.00

T. P. Ryan
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 July 21	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
Sept 2	Summons returned & filed unserved.
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy
Dec. 31	Affidavit for publication of summons this day filed
"	Order for publication of summons this day filed.
1893 March 21	Proof of publication of summons filed
" 21	Proof of deposit of copy of summons and complaint in Post office filed.
" 29	It appearing to the Court from the proofs of publication and mailing of the summons and complaint that the service of the summons herein was complete on the 8th day of March 1893, and that the defendant herein has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time for appearing or answering has fully expired Now on motion of T. P. Ryan Esq of Counsel for the Plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the defendant Frederick E Collins be and the same is hereby entered And on further motion of said T. P. Ryan it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for
Justices 9.75	Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor
Const 4.10	of the plaintiff Geo Schorling and against the defendant
13.85	Frederick E Collins for the sum of $125.00 and for costs
	joint herein taxed at 13.85.
	Total judgment. $138.85
	Attest Justice of the Peace
March 29	Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw Constable
Apr 4	Execution returned wholly satisfied

No. 24

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. Madsen Plaintiff

vs

Geo. Arnett Defendant

Action on a note

Demand $ 50.00 + int. at 1% per mo. fr. Aug 1, 84 compounded semi-ann. + 25.00 atty fee D. M. Seaton Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
July 21, 1892	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. S. Ashman Constable (H. J. T. Jacobs deputy)
Janu 16, 1893	Summons returned and filed showing service on the defendant Geo Arnett of a copy of the same attached to a copy of the complaint in the County of Fresno Janu 14, 1893 J-D

David Cosgrave vs W. J. Hayden

Continued from page 25.

Judgment of the Plaintiff David Cosgrave and against the defendant W. J. Hayden for the sum of $108.25/100 debt and for the sum of $29.15 costs of suit.

Constable's fees $1.80
Justice's fees 8.75
Witness fees 18.60
$29.15

Total judgment $137.40/100

Done in Open Court Aug 9, 1892

Danl. S. Prince,
Justice of the Peace

Aug. 9, 1892 Execution issued and delivered to

No. 25

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

David Cosgrave — Plaintiff

vs.

W. J. Hayden — Defendant

Action for damages

Demand $250 00/100

A. A. Smith Attorney for Plaintiff

E. J. Robinson Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 July 22	Complaint and summons and affidavit and transcript of docket in the above entitled cause of action transfered to this Court from the Justice's Court of J. M. Shannon of the Fifth Township upon a motion for a change of venue.
" "	A written demurrer this day filed by E. J. Robinson, Esq. atty for defendant.
" 28	Affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed and two writs of attachment issued.
Aug 2	Written motion to dissolve attachment this day filed by J. E. F. Edwards, Esq.
July 25	The above demurrer set for argument on July 28, 1892 at 2 P.M. & the parties of interest notified thereof.
" 28	By consent of Williams attorney for the defendant the above demurrer is this day overruled and a general denial (oral) entered for defendant.
Aug 2	Written motion to discharge attachment this day filed by defendant
Aug 4	Argument on motion to dissolve attachment set for Aug 6, 1892 at 9.30 A.M. Action set for trial Aug 9/92 1.30 P.M.
" 6	At the hour set J. E. F. Edwards appears for the defendant and W. P. Thompson appears for the plaintiff. After argument by the attorneys the Court takes the matter under advisement.
" 8	The Court this day orders the attachment dissolved.
" "	A subpoena for witnesses for the plaintiff this day issued.
" 9	At the hour set the parties of interest are present and the trial proceeds. Messrs Edwards & Williams appear for the defendant; and W. P. Thompson appears for the plaintiff. A. Farley, A. P. Carlson, Wm. Snow and David Cosgrave are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff; the defendant testifies in his own behalf. The case is argued and submitted. The Court thereupon finds for the plaintiff. Whereupon judgment is rendered and entered in favor

(continued on to page 24)

No. 26

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S. B. Goodman — Plaintiff

vs.

W. S. Barnett — Defendant

Action for goods, wares & merchandise.

Demand $ 15.25

J. E. Lovelittle — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 July 25	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable (H. P. T. Jackson deputy)
" 28	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant W. S. Barnett of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 4th Township of Fresno County on July 26, 1892
Aug. 1st	Writ of attachment returned & filed.
" "	The above action this day dismissed & costs paid.

Dayle A. Turner
Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd Aug 7th from Justice Turner $15.25

No. 27

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John C. Penner
Plaintiff
vs.
A. H. Kuhns
Defendant

Action for value sold & delivered

Demand $ 14 55

John C. Penner in person
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

Rec'd Summons & Copy of Complaint & Writ of Attachment July 25 1892 A. H. Kuhns

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 July 25	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued & delivered to J. G. Ashmun Constable.
Sept 2	Writ of attachment returned & filed & showing levy.
Nov. 26	Summons returned & filed without service.

No. 28

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Charles Affen
Plaintiff.

vs.

Geo. W. Clune
Defendant.

Action for goods, ware & merchandise

Demand $ 38 50

J. E. Hewlett
Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
July 26 1892	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. B. Ashman Constable (H. F. T. Jacobs deputy).
Aug. 1st	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Geo. W. Clune of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Aug 1st 1892.
Aug 9 1892	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer indorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant Geo. W. Clune in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Aug. 1st, 1892; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all, and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days, has fully expired now, therefore on motion of J. E. Hewlett, attorney for the plaintiff, defendant's default is duly entered; and on further motion of said plaintiff's attorney judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Charles Affen and against the defendant Geo. W. Clune for the sum of $38 50 dollars and for the sum of $5 95 costs of suit.
	Total judgment $44 45/100
	Done in Open Court Aug 9, 1892
	Daniel B. Pinn,
	Justice of the Peace.

Justices fee $3.95
Constables fee 2.00
$5.95

Costs Paid to date $10 20

No. 29

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Dan. Switzer — Plaintiff

vs.

J. V. Curd — Defendant

Action on a note

Demand $253.30/100 + int @ 1% per mo. fr. Jan 18, 92

W. D. Grady and Jos Gallagher — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
July 26 1892	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman, Constable.
Aug 1st	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on July 28, 92 on the defendant J. V. Curd.
1893 March 23	Writ of attachment returned & filed without levy. It appearing to the Court from the return of the Officer that a copy of the Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 28th day of July 1892, and that the said defendant has not appeared or answered herein in any manner or at all, and it further appearing that the legal time for him to appear or answer, to wit: four days has fully expired, now on motion of Dan Switzer the Plaintiff, the default of the defendant J. V. Curd is hereby entered and on further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered in accordance with the prayer of the Complaint
	Whereupon Judgment is hereby rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff Dan Switzer and against the defendant J. V. Curd for the sum of $289.26 and for costs of suit herein taxed at 10.20 Total judgment $299.96
	Done in open Court this 24th day of March 1893
	H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace —
24	Abstract of Judgment made and delivered to Dan Switzer

Costs paid to date $10.20
1895 Nov 20. The within judgment credited with $26.00 paid by E. H. Benchard—
W. D. Grady Atty for Pltff

No 30

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Michael Burns — Plaintiff

vs.

G. L. Long — Defendant

Action for work done & money loaned.

Demand $ 219 00

W. D. Grady & Jas Gallagher — Attorneys for Plaintiff

Thompson & King — Attorneys for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
July 24 1892	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. S. Ashman Constable.
" 28	Summons returned & filed showing personal service by the Officer on the defendant G. L. Long of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on July 28, 1892
Aug. 4	A written demurrer this day filed for defendant by his attorneys Messrs Thompson & King.
Nov. 13	By consent of defendant, his demurrer in file herein is overruled; and defendant thereupon files a written answer and counter claim.
" 18	The above action set for trial on Nov. 28, 1892 at 10 A. M. & the parties at interest notified thereof.
" 25	Plaintiff this day enters a general denial (oral) to defendants counter claim.
" 28	On motion of defendant the trial of the above action is continued until Dec. 22, 1892 at 1.30 P. M.
Dec. 22	The trial of the above action continued until Dec. 30, 192 at 9.30 A. M. on motion of the plaintiff.
" 30	At the hour set the plaintiff's attorney is present as are also the defendant and his attorney. After waiting for more than one hour for plaintiff to appear in person and the said plaintiff not appearing in person and no other witness appearing the Court orders the trial to proceed: Plaintiff's attorney objects; but the Court overrules the objection and notes an exception for the plaintiff. Thereupon G. L. Long is sworn and testifies. There being no further evidence to adduce the Court finds for the defendant. Wherefore judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the defendant G. L. Long and against the plaintiff Michael Burns for the sum of $61 00 debt and for the sum $8 00 costs of suit. Justice's fee = $6 00 Constable's fee = 2.00 $8.00 Total judgment $69 00 Done in Open Court Dec. 30, 1892 Clayton Rowe Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd June 10, 1893

No. 31

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John Doe — Plaintiff

vs.

W. E. Potts — Defendant

Action for goods, wares & merchandise

Demand $ 185.69

S. Jackson — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Rec'd Writ & Summons & delivered to Deputy Sheriff

Jan 10/93

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 July 27	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable (Jas. Ward deputy)
Aug 5	Writ of attachment returned & filed — no answer.
Sept 10	Summons returned & filed without service.

No. 32

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ideal Clothing House
Plaintiff.

vs.

A. H. Kuhne
Defendant.

Action for rent.

Demand $ 6.00

Maurice Rothschild
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 July 27	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. S. Ackman Constable.
Sept 6	Writ of attachment returned & filed — no property.
Nov. 26	Summons returned & filed without service.

No. 33

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

San Joaquin Valley R.R. Co. a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

M. W. Muller
Defendant

Action

Demand $50.00 + int. @ 7% per mo. from July 27,

91

L. L. Cory and L. W. Monteith
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 July 29	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ackman, Constable.
Aug 1st	Summons served, returned and filed.
" 2	On motion of the attorney for the plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed. Dagler R. Price, Justice of the Peace.

No. 34

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. C. Johnson — Plaintiff.

vs.

R. C. Gardner — Defendant.

Action on a note

Demand $236 00 + int. @ 1% per mo fr. Sept 1, 91 am. mo. + 50 = atty's fee.

W. D. Grady
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
July 29 1892	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ackman Constable.
Aug 1st	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant R. C. Gardner of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on July 30, 1892
" 4	Defendant R. C. Gardner this day enters a general demurrer to plaintiff's complaint.
Dec. 31	The parties at interest herein come into Court. The defendant thereupon consents to the overruling of the demurrer herein, and enters a general denial (oral) to the plaintiff's complaint.

By consent and at the request of the parties at interest the above action is at once tried. The defendant R. C. Gardner is sworn and testifies: From the evidence adduced the Court finds for the plaintiff. Wherefore judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. C. Johnson and against the defendant R. C. Gardner for the sum of $236 00 debt and for the sum of $40 30/100 interest and for the sum of $20 00/100 atty's fee and for the sum of $6 60/100 costs of suit.

Total judgment $302 90/100

Justice's fee = $4.60
Constable's fee = 2.00
$6.60

Done in Open Court Dec 31, 92.

David R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 35

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Fields
Plaintiff
vs.
Thomas Young
Defendant

Action for damages

Demand $ 150.00

Church & Walker
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug 2	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
" 11	Summons returned and filed showing service of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint on the defendant Thomas Young in the County of San Benito on Aug. 6, 92.
Sept. 5	On motion of plaintiff the above action this day dismissed and costs paid. Agustus R. Finch Justice of the Peace.

37

No. 37

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. M. Miller — Plaintiff

vs

W. M. Williams — Defendant

Action on a note & for money.

Demand $199 & int & $25 00 atty's fee

L. W. Mentrie
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug 3	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. S. Ackman Constable.
" "	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant W. M. Williams of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Aug. 3, 1892
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed.
" 9	Written answer this day filed by Monroe Stouton & Webb for the defendant.
" 13	The above action set for trial at 1.30 P.M. Aug. 23 1892 and the parties at interest notified thereof.
" 23	The above trial by consent of parties at interest continued until Aug. 30, 1892 at 1.30 P.M.
" 30	The above trial indefinitely continued.
Dec. 2	The above trial set for 11 A.M. Dec. 6, 92 and the parties at interest notified thereof.
6	At the hour set for trial the plaintiff is present but the defendant is absent; and the said defendant not appearing for more than one hour thereafter the Court order the trial to proceed: L. W. Mentrie is sworn and testifies. There being no further evidence to adduce the Court finds for the plaintiff. Wherefore judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff F. M. Miller and against the defendant W. M. Williams for the sum of $199 00 debt and for the sum of $12 50/100 interest and for the sum of $25 00/100 attorney's fee and for the sum of $13 75/100 costs of suit.
	Justice fee = $8.75 Constable fee = 5.00 $13.75
	Total judgment $250 25/100 Done in Open Court Dec. 6, 1892 Chas. R. Thomas, Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd Justice fee $8.75 Const. fee $5.00

1893 Feby 7. Execution issued.
" 27. The within judgment is satisfied in full this day by payment by W. M. Williams

No. 36

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. G. Bochen — Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Rogers et al — Defendant

Action for merchandise sold & delivered

Demand $ 101 63

F. G. Bochen in person Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug. 2	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. S. Ackman Constable.
" 3	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy of the summons on defendant J. W. Rogers and personal service of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint on defendant H. A. Taggart in the 6th Township Fresno County on Aug. 2, 1892
" 13	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer indorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant ~~J. W. Rogers~~ and H. A. Taggart and a copy of said summons on J. W. Rogers in the 6th Township of Fresno County on Aug 2, 1892; and it appearing that said defendants have not answered in said, nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all, and the legal time therefor viz: Ten days, has fully expired; now therefore on motion of F. G. Bochen, Esq. the plaintiff herein, defendants' default is duly entered; and on further motion of said F. G. Bochen, Esq. judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff F. G. Bochen, Esq. and against the defendants J. W. Rogers and H. A. Taggart for the sum of $101 63/100 debt and for the sum of $17 35/100 costs of suit.
	Justice fee $6.95 Total judgment $118 98/100 R Constable fee 10.40 Done in open Court Aug 13, 1892 $17.35
	Clark R. Pinn Justice of the Peace.
1892 Aug 13	Execution issued & delivered to J. S. Ackman Constable.
" 22	Execution returned & filed partially satisfied. Amt paid on judg. $80 20/100

Sept 2, 1892 Writ of attachment & Undertaking filed & Issued & delivered

38

No. 38

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

B. Collins — Plaintiff

vs.

J. A. Weldy — Defendant

Action for value sold and delivered

Demand $ 195.75

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug. 3	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable
" 8	Summons returned & filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant J. A. Weldy of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Aug. 5, 1892
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed. No levy made.

Paid my fees
H. K. Fowler

Sept. 2, 1892 Writ of attachment & summons returned & filed

15,30

No. 39

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John Irvin — Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Rodgers and H. A. Taggart — Defendant

Action for merchandise sold & delivered

Demand $213 60

Sam Jackson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Entered Aug 3 1892

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug 3	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. S. Eckman Constable.
" 8	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy of the summons on H. A. Taggart and a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint on J. W. Rogers — both defendants having been served in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Aug. 8, 1892.
"	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant J. W. Rodgers and a copy of the summons on the defendant H. A. Taggart, in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Aug 8, 1892; and it appearing that said defendants have not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days has fully expired, now therefor on motion of S. Jackson attorney for the plaintiff defendants default is hereby entered, and on further motion of the said attorney judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff John Irvin and against the defendants J. W. Rodgers and H. A. Taggart for the sum of $213 60/100 demand and for the sum of $22 25/100 costs of suit.
	Total judgment $235 85/100 Done in open Court Aug 18, 1892 Daniel R. Vance, Justice of the Peace.
Aug 18 1892	Execution issued & delivered to Jas. A. Ward.

Sept 2, 1892 Writ of attachment returned & filed showing

40 X

No. 40

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Collins & Mann Plaintiff.

vs.

Moses L. Cole Defendant.

Action m a/c & note

Demand $ 88 38/100

J. A. Mann Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug	5	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. S. Ashman Constable.
"	8	Summons returned & filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Moses L. Cole of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Aug. 8, 1892.
Sept 1893	3	Writ of attachment returned & filed; no levy.
Nov	4	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original Summons that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the said defendant at this Township on the 8th day of August 1893. and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit five days has fully expired now on motion of Collins & Mann it is ordered that the default of the said defendant Moses L. Cole be and the same is hereby entered.
		On further motion of said Collins & Mann it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for
3 25 1 25 4 40		Whereupon judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs Collins & Mann a partnership and against the defendant Moses L. Cole for the sum of $88 38 and for costs of suit taxed at $. 15 25
		Total judgment $. 103 63
		Done in open Court this 4th Nov 1893
		H. Austin Justice of the Peace.
"	4	Execution issued and delivered to J. A. Walton

No. 41

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R. P. Justy Plaintiff

vs.

C. H. Haun Defendant

Action Breach of contract

Demand $ 120 00

O. M. Seaton Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug 15	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
" 16	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant C. H. Haun in the 4th Township of Fresno County, State of California on Aug. 16, 1892 of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
" 27	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer indorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant C. H. Haun in the 4th Township of Fresno County on Aug 16, 1892; and it appearing that said defendants has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Twenty days has fully expired now therefore on motion of O. M. Seaton, Esq. attorney for the plaintiff R. P. Justy, defendant C. H. Haun's default is duly entered; and on further motion of the said attorney, judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff R. P. Justy and against the defendant C. H. Haun for the sum of $120 00 debt and further sum of $21 25 costs of suit.
	Justice's fee $6.95 — Total judgment $141 75/100
	Constable's fees 14.80 — Same in open Court Aug 27, 92
	21.75
	Daniel C. Prince
	Justice of the Peace
1892 Aug 30	Execution issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
Oct 31	" returned & filed partly satisfied.

Sept. 2, 1892 Writ of attachment filed

42

No. 42

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jessie B. Klinnuker — Plaintiff

vs

James D. Ragan — Defendant

Action on a note

Demand $100.00 + int. thereon at 1% per mo. from April 8/91 + $25.00 attys. fee.

Stanton L. Carter, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug. 6	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. S. Ashman Constable.
" 24	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the Officer on the said defendant James D. Ragan of a copy of the Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in the 3th Township of Fresno County on Aug. 13, 1892.
"	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
"	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the Complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant James D. Ragan in the 3th Township of Fresno County on Aug 13, 1892; and it appearing that the said defendant James D. Ragan has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all, and the legal time therefor to wit: Tuesday, has fully expired, now therefore his default is, on motion of Stanton L. Carter, Esq. attorney for the Plaintiff, entered; and on further motion of the said attorney judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Jessie B. Klinnuker and against the defendant James D. Ragan for the sum of $100.00 debt and for the sum of $18.28/100 interest and for the sum of $20.00 attys fee and for the sum of $32.55/100 costs of suit.
	Justice's fees $6.25 Constables fees 25.60 $32.55
	Total judgment $170.83/100
	Done in Open Court Aug. 24 1892. Stanton L. Carter Justice of the Peace.
Aug 29 Sept 13	Execution issued and delivered to the constable Barr of Fresno Execution returned & filed showing partial satisfaction. Amt rec'd $10.84/100

Sept 13, 92. Writ of attachment returned & filed
Running & Levy. (13 items)
Sept 28, 92. Judgment this day satisfied in full
Stanton L. Carter
Justice of the Peace

No. 43

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

West H. Mahoney
Plaintiff

vs.

Samuel Hacking
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 50.50

W. S. Crawford.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug 8	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Altman Constable.

No 44

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. P. Ryan — Plaintiff

vs.

Thos. Van Aken — Defendant

Action for services rendered

Demand $ 299 99/100

T. P. Ryan in pro per
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

F. H. Short and Austin & Olney
Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug	8	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable
"	11	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Thos. Van Aken of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Aug 10, 1892.
"	"	A written demurrer ~~to~~ plaintiff's complaint this day filed by Messrs F. H. Short and Austin & Olney.
"	12	The above demurrer set for argument at 4 P. M. Aug. 15, 1892
"	15	The above demurrer this day overruled; and defendant enters a general denial (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.
"	16	A written amended answer this day filed.

No. 45

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Simon Reinhart Plaintiff
vs.
S. R. Hart and
Irba Rhea Hart Defendants

Action on a note

Demand $149.40/100 + int at 7% per annum from Feb. 12, 92 + $50 = atty's fee.

H. C. Tupper
Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo. W. Jones
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug 8	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ackman Constable.
" 26	Summons returned & filed showing personal service of a copy of the summons on the defendant S. R. Hart in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Aug 22, 1892; and a copy of the summons & complaint attached to a copy of the complaint on Mrs. Irba Rhea Hart in the County of Colusa on Aug 12, 1892.
" 27	Defendants this day through their attorney Geo. W. Jones, Esq. file a written demurrer to plaintiff's complaint.
Sept 19	The above demurrer set for argument on Sept. 21st, 1892 at 9.30 A. M. & the parties at interest notified thereof.
" 21	Argument of demurrer continued indefinitely
" 30	By consent of attorney for the defendants the demurrer herein is overruled and by consent of plaintiff's attorney defendants are given ten days in which to answer
Oct 6	Defendants this day file a written answer.
Dec 1	The above action set for trial on Dec. 13, 92 at 9 A. M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
" 13	At the hour set the plaintiff is present and ready for trial; and the defendants not appearing and the Court after waiting for more than an hour therefor, orders plaintiff to prove his case. H. C. Tupper is sworn and testifies. From the evidence adduced the Court finds for the plaintiff. Whereupon judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Simon Reinhart and against the defendants S. R. Hart and Irba Rhea Hart for the sum of $149.40/100 debt & for $7.20 interest, and for $25 attorney fee & for $9.85 costs of suit. Total judgment $191.45 Time in open Court Dec. 13, 1892 David R. Vance, Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd from Plff. U.S. Com.

Justice fee: $6.00
Constable fee: 3.85

No 46

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John Seropian Plaintiff

vs.

H. Avakian Defendant

Action Assigned a/c

Demand $ 60 05/100 + int on $42.15 @ 7% fr. June 3/92.

Church & Walker Attorneys for Plaintiff

H. C. Tupper Attorney for Defendant

Sept. 2, 1892 Writ of Attachment returned & filed. Stevens, Dep.

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug.	9	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. S. Ackman Constable.
"	10	A written answer and counterclaim this day filed by H. C. Tupper, Esq. attorney for defendant.
"	"	The above action set for trial on July 13, 1892 at 9 A. M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	"	Summons returned & filed showing service.
"	13	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: John Seropian is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. Thereupon the further trial of this action is postponed until Aug. 15, 92 at 2 P. M.
"	15	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: Geo. F. Church, Geo. Seropian, S. Serapian, J. G. Miasnian ~~and~~ ~~H. Avakian~~ and John Babasian are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff; and H. Avakian and M. K. Peretsian are sworn and testify in behalf of the defense. A. S. Barabedian is sworn in and acts as Armenian interpreter. The testimony is argued and submitted to the Court, whereupon the Court finds for the plaintiff. Wherefore judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff John Seropian and against the defendant H. Avakian for the sum of $60 30/100 debt and for the sum of $55 20/100 Costs of suit.

Total judgment

Justice fee $8.00

Constables fee 31.50

$39.50

Given in Open Court Aug 15, 92

Dante R. Stivers

Justice of the Peace.

1892 Aug	17	Memorandum of costs this day filed.
"	20	The above judgment this day satisfied & costs paid into Court ~~paid~~ by John Seropian.

No. 47

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jas W. Burns — Plaintiff

vs.

D.P. Barnett and Jas W Lindsay — Defendants

Action on a note

Demand $286.25 & Int.

Clarence Freeman — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	
1892			
Aug	9	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed.	
1893			
July	22	Summons issued	50
"	29	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on Jas W Lindsay	25
"	29	Alias Summons issued.	50
Aug	1	It appearing to the Court from the affidavit of service on the original summons that a copy thereof attached to a copy of the Complaint was personally served on the defendant Jas W Lindsay at this Township on the 24th day of July 1893 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatever and the legal time therefor to wit, Sunday, has fully expired. Now on motion of C.C. Freman, it is ordered that the default of the said defend Jas W. Lindsay be and the same is hereby entered	75
"	31	Alias Summons returned and filed showing personal service on D.P. Barnett	25
"	31	It appearing to the court from the affidavit of service on the alias summons that a copy of the same attached to a true copy of the Complaint was personally served on the defendant D.P. Barnett at the County of Alameda on the 8th day of August 1893. and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, and the legal time therefor, to wit: twenty days has fully expired. Now on motion of C.C. Freman it is ordered that the default of the said defendant D.P. Barnett be and the same is hereby entered	75
		On further motion of said C.C. Freman it is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for, viz: $286.25 principal & $179 interest	50
		Whereon Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Jas W. Burns and against the defendants D.P. Barnett and Jas W. Lindsay for the sum of four hundred sixty six & 1/100 dollars ($466.01) and for costs of suit taxed at $12.20 Total Judgment $478.21	10

Done in open Court this 31st day of Aug 1893

H.Z Austin Justice of the Peace.

No 48

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo. L. Wood Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Thomas Defendant

Action for services rendered

Demand $ 150 00

W. L. Crawford Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug 9	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. H. Ackman Constable.
" 18	Summons served in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Aug 18, 1892.
Sept 17	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs taxed. Daniel C. Simon Justice of the Peace.

No. 49

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. H. Williams — Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs. M. Smith — Defendant

Action for goods, wares & merchandise.

Demand $ 203 28/100

Stanton L. Carter — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug. 9	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed.
" "	Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. E. Ackman Constable.
" 11	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the Officer on the defendant Mrs. M. Smith of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Aug 10, 192
" 22	It appearing to this Court from the return of the Officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said Officer on the said defendant Mrs. M. Smith in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Aug. 10, 1892; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor (five days) has fully expired now therefore on motion of Stanton L. Carter, Esq. attorney for the plaintiff, defendants default is hereby entered; and on further motion of the said plaintiff's attorney, judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff F. H. Williams and against the defendant Mrs. M. Smith for the sum of $203 28/100 and for the sum of $21 75/100 costs of suit.
	Total judgment $225 03/100
	Done in Open Court Aug. 22, 192
	Justice's fee = $6.95
	Constable's fee = 14.80
	$21.75
	Justice of the Peace.
Sept 3 1893	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
Jany 7	Writ of execution this day issued.

No. 50

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo. Tyrrell
Plaintiff.

vs.

R. M. Turner
Defendant.

Action for services rendered

Demand $161 00

Geo. L. Hood
Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug 10	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Catheman Constable.
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
Sept. 12	Summons returned & filed showing personal service by the deputy sheriff of Alameda County on the defendant R. M. Turner in the County of Alameda, State of California on Sept. 2d, 1892.
" 12	Defendant R. M. Turner came into Court (and) this day and enters a general denial to plaintiffs complaint.

No. 51

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The San Joaquin Valley R. R. Company (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

Jas. A. Waterman Defendant

Action Breach of Contract

Demand $ 25 00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug 11	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman, Constable.
" 13	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Aug 12, 192
15	Defendant this day enters a general demurrer (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.
" 20	The above demurrer set for argument on Aug. 23, 192 at 1.30 P.M. & parties & interest notified thereof.
" 23	The argument of the above demurrer postponed until Aug 24, 192 at 1.30 P.M.
" 24	The above demurrer this day overruled by Court & defendant & defendant is by the Court given five days in which to answer.
" 29	Defendant this day through his attorney H. H. Welch, Esq. files a written answer.

Rec'd of Justice Fees $ Paid

52

No. 52

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. G. Bochers — Plaintiff

vs.

Belli + Remandia — Defendant

Action for merchandise sold + delivered

Demand $ 62 96/100

F. G. Bochers in person
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1892		
Aug	12	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
"	13	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Remandia of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint, and a copy of the summons on the defendant Belli in the 1st Township of Fresno County on Aug. 12, 1892.
"	"	Writ of attachment returned + filed showing levy.
"	23	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant Remandia, and a copy of the summons on the defendant Belli, in the 1st Township of Fresno County on Aug 12, 1892; and it appearing that said defendants have not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all, and the legal time therefor to wit: Ten days, has fully expired, now, therefore, on motion of plaintiff, defendants' default is hereby entered; and on further motion of said plaintiff, judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff F. G. Bochers and against the defendants Belli + Remandia for the sum of $62 96/100 debt and for the sum of $20 75/100 costs of suit.

Costs allowed per $13.80
Justice's fee $6.95
$20.75

Total judgment $83 21/100

Done in Open Court Aug 23, 92

Daniel C. Stine,
Justice of the Peace.

1892		
Aug	23	Execution issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable
"	24	Execution returned satisfied in full and filed.

No. 5-3

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. H. Williamson
Plaintiff

vs.

J. M. Freedman
Defendant

Action assigned account.

Demand $ 166 50

Stanton L. Carter
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug 12	Complaint filed and warrant issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.

54

No. 54

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. B. Pond — Plaintiff

vs.

John M. Hunley — Defendant

Action Replevin suit.

Demand $ Return of stock, $50.00 damages & $75.00 attached. Thompson & Kelly Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug 12	Complaint filed.
Nov. 2	Defendant this day, by his attorneys Pearce Shuttuck + Webb, enters a general denial (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.

No. 55

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S. R. Hart — Plaintiff

vs.

Jennie B. Kennedy and J. R. Broughton — Defendant

Action for professional services

Demand $ 50 00/100

S. R. Hart in person
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug 13	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ackman Constable.

No. 56

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S. R. Hart — Plaintiff

vs.

M. Burns and Ellen Burns his wife — Defendant

Action for Injunction and Damages

Demand $ 50 00

S. R. Hart Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

E. D. Edwards Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	
1892			
Aug	13	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.	
Oct.	26	Summons returned & filed showing service on the defendants.	1.25
"	28	A written demurrer this day filed for defendants by their attorney E. D. Edwards, Esq.	25
1893			
June	5	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing June 6. 1893 at ~~4:30~~ 10 A M.	50
June	6	At the time set the demurrer is submitted without argument and overruled & ordered that deffendant answer in two days	75
"	8	Defendant files written Answer.	25
"	12	On motion of plaintiff above cause set for trial June 22, 1893, at 1-30	50
"	22	On motion of plaintiff the trial of above action is hereby continued indefinately	50
Aug	7	On motion of Plaintiff above Cause set for trial Aug 14, 1893 at 1-30 P.M	50
"	14	Subpoena for 2 witnesses issued for plaintiff	50
"	14	Above subpoena returned and filed.	25
"	14	At the time set all parties with their Attorneys are in Court and the trial proceeds. S.R. Hart is sworn and testifies in his own behalf and the defendants are both sworn and testify in their behalf as is also D.S. Wright. The matter is submitted without argument and the Court takes the matter under advisement.	2.00
"	16	The Court this day finds for plaintiff for $30— and Costs. Whereof judgment is here rendered and entered ~~for the sum of $30 00~~ in favor of the plaintiff S.R. Hart and against the defendants M. Burns and Ellen Burns his wife for the sum of thirty dollars and for costs of suit taxed at $13 65	1.00
		Total Judgment $43 65	8.20
		Done in open Court this 16th day of Aug 1893 H. Austin Justice of the Peace	
"	16	Execution issued and delivered to S.R. Hart.	1.25
"	17	Notice of appeal and undertaking on appeal filed and on motion of E.D.E. Words "It is ordered that property levied on be released	1.00 .50

No. 57

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Robt White — Plaintiff

vs.

Robt Haywood — Defendant

Action for work & labor done

Demand $ 23 00

Robt White — Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug 16	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J. E. Ashman Constable (S. S. Carter)
" "	Summons served, returned and filed. Summons served in the 4th Township of Fresno County on Aug. 16, 1892
" 20	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff, without prejudice & costs paid Chas R. Pierce Justice of the Peace.

4 25
1 20
1 00
5 00
12 50
8 20
4 25

25 60
5 20
5 40

58

No. 58

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. T. Bieglin — Plaintiff

vs.

A. H. Stanforth + C. C. Chapman — Defendant

Action in a note

Demand $

J. T. Bieglin in person
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug 15	Complaint filed
1893 May 25	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of the plaintiff

H. Z. Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 59

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joseph Spinney — Plaintiff

vs.

S. J. Hinds and C. C. Merriam — Defendant

Action on a note

Demand $70.00 + int @ 1% per month.

L. W. Poultrie
Attorney for Plaintiff

S J Hinds
Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	
1892 Aug	15	Complaint filed.	1 00
1893 Mch	2	Summons issued	50
"	6	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	25
"	8	Now comes the defendant S J Hinds and on behalf of himself and co-defendant denies each and every allegation in said complaint	25
1894 April	30	On motion of plaintiff trial of above cause set for May 10. 1894 at 9-a m	50
May	10	At the time set the plaintiff and his Attorney are in Court. There is no appearance on behalf of defendants. It appearing to the Court from the admission of S J Hinds previously made in open Court and the statement of L W. Poultrie that written notice of the time of trial of this cause was served on the attorney for defendants and more than one hour from the time set the trial proceeds in the absence of defendants. Joseph Spinney is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. From the testimony adduced the Court finds for plaintiff and fixes the attorneys fee at $20.00 viz. Principal $70.00 Interest $48.30 attorneys fee $20.00	1 00
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Joseph Spinney and against the defendants S J Hinds and C. C. Merriam for the sum of $138.30 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $6.50	1 06 4 50 Const 2 00 6 50
		Total judgment $144.80	
		Done in open Court this 10th day of May 1894	
		A J Austin Justice of the Peace	

60

No. 60

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. R. Daniels — Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Laing, Malcolmson and Gordon Malcolmson etc. — Defendant

Action for work done & money loaned

Demand $172 co

Frank H. Short — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug. 17	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
" 19	Summons returned & filed showing personal service of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 2nd Township of Fresno County on Aug. 18, 1892.
" 30	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant Gordon Malcolmson in the 2nd Township of Fresno County on Aug. 18, 1892; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor has fully expired, now therefore on motion of Frank H. Short, Esq. attorney for the plaintiff, default of defendant Gordon Malcolmson is duly entered; and on further motion of the said attorney, judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff E. R. Daniels and against the defendant Gordon Malcolmson for the sum of $172 co debt and for the sum of $44 35 costs of suit Justice fee $6.95 Constable's fees 37.40 44.35 Total judgment $216 35 Done in Open Court Aug 30/92 David C. Prim Justice of the Peace.
1892 Aug 30	Execution issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
Sept 26	" returned & filed. Amt rec'd $154 50 — Costs $93 05 Amt paid plaintiff $60 45

Sept 3, 1892 Writ of attachment returned & filed

6.95
37.40
14.00
30.00
44.35

Rec'd Justice fees

No. 61

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Barrett Hicks & Co. (a partnership) Plaintiff

vs.

W. L. Fletcher Defendant

Action for sucke sold & delivered

Demand $17.85

Arthur C. Banta Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug 20	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
" 27	Rec'd Aug 27 1892 J. G. Ashman Constable. Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the Officer on the defendant W. L. Fletcher of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 4th Township of Fresno County on Aug. 27, 1892
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed.

62

No. 62

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. A. Morgan — Plaintiff

vs

Wm. M. Story — Defendant

Action for services rendered

Demand $ 12 00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1892		
Aug	16	Complaint filed.
1893 Feby	9	Summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Const 50
"	24	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy thereof attached to a copy of the Complaint in this Township on Feb 24th 1893 50

No. 63

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. Paccelli — Plaintiff

vs.

Rocco Batto, John Doe and Richard Roe — Defendant

Action for work & labor done.

Demand $ 134 25

D. M. Seaton — Attorney for Plaintiff

D F D — Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug 23	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable (H. J. E. Jackson deputy)

No. 64

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

I. J. Truman & C. A. Hooker, Plaintiff, vs. F. O. Watkins, Defendant	Action on a note Demand $ 90.00 & int. thereon at 1½ % per mo. from May 9/92; & $25.00 atty's fee. L. L. Cory Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug 24	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
Sept. 26	Summons returned & filed showing service on the defendant F. O. Watkins in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept 26, 1892 by a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the Complaint by S. H. Hill Esq.

1896 7. Merritt Revived papers

No. 65

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. G. Yancey
Plaintiff

vs.

Spring Valley School District
(A Corporation)
Defendant

Action for goods, wares & merchandise.

Demand $84 81/100

L. L. Cory
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug 24	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Eskman Constable.
Sept 1st	Summons returned and filed showing service in the 1st Township of Fresno County on Aug. 30, 1892 on Frank M. Murphy, Clerk of the Board of Trustees of Spring Valley School District
" 19	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant the Spring Valley School District (a corporation) by the said officer delivering the said papers to Frank M. Murphy the Clerk of the Board of Trustees of said school district on Aug. 30th, 1892 in the 1st Township of Fresno County; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all, and the legal time therefor to wit: Ten days has duly expired, now therefore on motion of L. L. Cory Esq. Atty for the plaintiff, defendants default is hereby entered and on further motion of the said L. L. Cory Esq. judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff T. G. Yancey and against the defendant the Spring Valley School District for the sum of $84 81/100 and for the sum of $16 65/100 costs of suit.

Justice fee $3.95
Constable fee 12.70
$16.65

Total judgment $101 46/100
Entered in Judgment Book Sept 19, 1892
Daniel [illegible]
Justice of the Peace.

1892 Sept 7. Memo Recvd papers
" 29. Execution issued & delivered to B. F. Merrill
Oct 27 Abstract of judgment made & delivered to B. F. Merrill

No. 65

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. L. Van de Mark
Plaintiff

vs.

J. C. Higginbotham and
T. H. Higginbotham
Defendants

Action on a/c

Demand $ 245.00 + legal int. from April 14, 91

Allin L. Rhodes
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	
1892 Aug. 25	Complaint filed.	1,00
1893 Aug 18	Summons issued	50

No. 67

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. M. Clark and W. H. McKenzie — Plaintiff

vs.

T. E. Huff and J. H. Huff — Defendant

Action

Demand $ 8.25 + int @ 1½% from Nov. 17, 188

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug 23	Complaint filed

Recd Justice fees. Sept.

No 68

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

L. G. Brownell
Plaintiff.

vs.

K. G. Malcolmson and
Wm. L. Malcolmson
Defendant.

Action of assigned a/c

Demand $ 45.00

J. Jackson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug 25	Complaint filed and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
" 26	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint on the defendant K. G. Malcolmson in the 2nd Township of Fresno County on Aug. 26, 1892.
" 26	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.

No. 69

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. Tombe — Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Walden — Defendant

Action assigned account

Demand $

A. Tombe, Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892	
Aug 25	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. H. Ashman Constable.
" 26	Summons returned & filed showing personal service of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in the Third Township of Fresno County on Aug. 25, 1892
" 31	It appearing to this Court from the return of the Officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the Complaint was personally served by the said Officer on the said defendant Geo. Walden in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Aug. 25, 1892; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to-wit: Five days has fully expired, now therefore on motion of Plaintiff, defendant Geo. Walden's default is duly entered and on further motion of Plaintiff judgment is rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff A. Tombe and against defendant Geo. Walden for the sum of $125.05/100 dollars and for the sum of $5.95/100 Costs of suit.
	Justice's fee $3.95 Constable fee 2.00 5.95
	Total judgment $131/00 Clerk in Open Court Justice of the Peace.

Sept. 30/92. Rec'd & paid to Plaintiff
A. Tombe
Justice

No. 20

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. C. Coble

Plaintiff.

vs.

S. H. Griffith, R. B. Johnson and Frank E. Fisher

Defendant.

Action for services rendered

Demand $228 00/100 & int @ 7% from July 1/92

L. L. Cory

Attorney for Plaintiff.

E. M. Risley

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug. 27	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
Sept. 1st	A written demurrer this day filed for defendants by S. H. Griffith
" 2	Summons returned & filed.
" "	The above demurrer set for argument on Sept. 6, 92 at 1.30 P. M.
" 6	The argument of the above demurrer this day continued.
" 8	By consent of defendant the above demurrer is this day overruled; and by consent of plaintiff defendant is given ten days in which to answer.
" 19	A written answer this day filed for defendants.

Paid demurrer & Summons $2.00

No. 71

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. H. Schoening — Plaintiff

vs.

Phil Schmitz — Defendant

Action assigned a/c & for work and labor done.

Demand $106.00

G. H. Schoening
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug. 29	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. S. Ashman Constable, (S. S. Cantelow, deputy.)
Sept. 1st	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Phil. Schmitz of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the Third Township of Fresno County on Aug. 30, 1892. Writ returned.
" 3	The above action this day dismissed and the demand and costs paid.

Phil Schmitz vs G. H. Schoening Aug. 29, 1892

Taylor R. Tinsley
Justice of the Peace

72

No. 72

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

William Stuft
Plaintiff.

vs.

E. J. Crank
Defendant.

Action assigned a/c

Demand $ 182 49

D. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug 30	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. S. Workman Constable (D. J. T. Jacobsen deputy.
Aug 31	On motion of plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed and costs paid.

Dan'l R. Price,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 73

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. R. Crocker — Plaintiff

vs.

F. J. Crank — Defendant

Action for coal sold & delivered

Demand $ 230 16

O. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Aug 30	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable (H. F. Packer deputy)
Sept 16	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs paid. Dayton & Prince, Justices of the Peace.

No. 14

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. Banta — Plaintiff

vs.

D. Macy — Defendant

Action assigned C/C

Demand $ 107.00

L. L. Cory — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

11 20 / 60 / 8 70 / 19 40 / 5 10 / 25

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	
1892 Sept.	1st	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. B. Ashman Constable.	
Oct 1893	1	Writ of attachment returned & filed. Nothing secured.	
July	10	Alias Writ of attachment issued	50
"	11	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	25
"	11	Writ (alias) of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25
"	18	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 11th day of July 1893. and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit five days has fully expired. Now on motion of the plaintiff herein It is ordered that the default of the said defendant D. Macy be and the same is hereby entered,	
		On further motion of said plaintiff It is ordered that judgment be entered in accordance with the prayer of plaintiffs complaint,	
		Wherefor judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff herein F. Banta and against the defendant D. Macy for the sum of $ 107.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at 25.10	
		Total Judgment $132.10	
		Done in open Court this 18th July 1893	
		A. Austin Justice of the Peace.	
"	18	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	
"	27	Execution returned and filed showing costs paid to date	9.25
1896 Dec	2	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to plff.	

The within judgment satisfied in full this 14 day of Jan 1896

No. 75

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. G. Yancey — Plaintiff

The Oakland Vineyard Company, a corporation & M. J. Laymance — Defendant

Action assignment C/C

Demand $ 107 67

L. L. Carey — Attorney for Plaintiff

W J Timmins — Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept. 28	Complaint filed and summons issued & delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
" 8	Summons returned & filed showing personal service of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the County of Alameda on Sept 7, 1892 on the said defendant M. J. Laymance.
" 16	A written demurrer to plaintiff's complaint this day filed by W. J. Timmins, Esq. atty. for defts. M. J. Laymance.
" 28	The above demurrer set for argument on Oct. 1st 1892 and the parties & interested notified thereof.
Oct 1	By consent of defendant the demurrer on file herein is overruled and by consent of plaintiff, defendant is given five days in which to answer.
" 4	A written answer this day filed by defendant
"	A written demand for bill of particulars and date of assignment this day filed.
1893 Jany 14	On motion of plffs atty above cause set for trial Jany 31, 1893 at 9 A M & parties notified
" 31	On motion of defendant Laymance he is allowed to and files amended answer and counter
" 31	At the time set all parties are present and announce themselves ready for trial whereupon the trial proceeds. J O Bybee and D K Lemon are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiffs. The defendant offers no testimony. The cause is submitted without argument and the Court orders Judgment for the plaintiffs as prayed for, to wit: $107 67

Whereupon Judgment is rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff T. G. Yancey and against the defendant M J Laymance for the sum of $107 67 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $14 40

Total Judgment $122 07

Done in Open Court this 31st day of Jany 1893—

H. G. Austin. Justice of the Peace—

The within judgment satisfied in full this 12 day of May 1893 H. G. Austin Justice of the Peace

L. L. Carey by Lawrence Atty for Plaintiff

"	31	By consent execution stayed twenty days
Feby	20	~~Affidavit~~ Notice of appeal this day filed
"	20	Undertaking on appeal this day filed.
"	25	Exception to sureties filed by plff to undertaking on appeal

3.00
J-0
7.0-
6.70
8.00
3.00
$11.00
5.0-
2.0-
7.5-
2.5-

No. 76

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. G. Mancey
Plaintiff.

vs.

A. M. Olsen.
Defendant.

Action Assign & a/c

Demand $ 23 44/100

L. L. Cory
Attorney for Plaintiff.

H. B. Austin
Attorney for Defendant.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept. 1st	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
" 3	Summons returned & filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant A. M. Olsen in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept. 2, 1892.
" 6	A written answer this day filed by defendant
Oct. 10	The trial of the above action set for Oct. 18, 92 at 9.30 A.M. and the parties of interest notified thereof.
" 18	At the hour set the parties of interest & the trial proceeds. J. A. Bixby is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff; and A. M. Olsen testifies in his own behalf. Thereupon the court adjourns until 1.30 P.M. Oct. 19, 92 with defendant still on the witness stand.
" 19	At the hour set the parties of interest are present and the trial proceeds. After the conclusion of Mr. Olsen's testimony, J. A. Bixby is recalled. The evidence being closed the arguing of the case is set for 10 A.M. Oct 20, 1892.
" 20	The case is this day argued pro & con and by the court taken under advisement.
Dec. 31	The court this day finds for the plaintiff. Wherefore judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff T. G. Mancey and against the defendant A. M. Olsen for the sum of $23 44/100 debt and for the sum of $13 00/100 costs of suit.
	Justice's fee = $11.00
	Constable's fee = 2.00
	$13.00
	Total judgment $36 44/100
	Done in open court Dec. 31, 1892.
	Chas. R. Shaw, Justice of the Peace.
Rec'd Justice Fees $11.00	
1893. Feby 23	Execution issued
" 25	Execution returned wholly satisfied

No. 27

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. Moseley — Plaintiff

vs.

G. Searsin + B. Lapisich partners doing business under firm name of Searsin + Co. — Defendant

Action for work + labor done

Demand $ 95 00/100

J. E. Overlittle
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept. 2	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable (H. J. T. Jacobsen deputy.) Sept. 3/92: Defendant G. Searsin enters a general denial. (oral)
" 3	By request of the plaintiff and the defendant G. Searsin the above action is set for trial to be had at once. A. Moseley and G. Searsin are sworn and testify. From the testimony adduced the Court finds for the plaintiff. Wherefore judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff A. Moseley and against the defendant G. Searsin and Searsin + Co. for the sum of $95 00/100 debt and for the sum of $25 55/100 costs of suit.
	Total judgment $120 45/100
	Justice fee $6.95 Clerk fee in Justice Court Sept. 3, 92
	Constable fee 18.50
	25.45
	Justice of the Peace.
1892 Sept 3	Execution issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable (H. J. T. Jacobsen deputy)
" 3	Summons served, returned + filed
" "	Writ of attachment returned + filed + levying levy.
" 26	Execution returned + filed fully satisfied.

No. 78

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. J. Ervin
Plaintiff.

vs.

Laurence Vincent
Defendant.

Action assigned a/c

Demand $ 163 15/100

Thompson & King
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept 2	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ackman Constable.

No. 79

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. E. Overlittle — Plaintiff

vs.

G. Scorsur + B. Lopinich partners doing business under firm name of Scorsur + Co — Defendants

Action assigned &c

Demand $ 64 25

J. E. Overlittle Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~.

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept	2	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Eckman Constable (H. J. T. Jacobsen deputy)
"	3	Sept. 3, 1892: Defendant G. Scorsur enters a general denial. By consent of the plaintiff and the defendant G. Scorsur the above action is set to be this day tried. J. E. Overlittle and G. Scorsur are sworn and testify. From the testimony adduced the Court finds for the plaintiff. Whereupon judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. E. Overlittle and against the defendant G. Scorsur and Scorsur + Co. for the sum of $64 25 debt and for the sum of $13 50/100 costs of suit.
		Justice fee $7.00 — Total judgment $78 25/100
		Constable fee 6.50 — Done in Open Court Sept. 6, 1892.
		$13.50
		Agustus C. Ince, Justice of the Peace.
Sept 1892	6	Execution issued and delivered to J. G. Eckman Constable (H. J. T. Jacobsen deputy)
"	6	Summons returned + filed showing service on G. Scorsur Sept. 3, 1892.
"	"	Writ of attachment returned + filed showing levy.
"	26	Execution returned + filed partly satisfied in the sum of $49 30

No. 80

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jos. Brown
Plaintiff

vs.

G. Scorsur & B. Lapsinich partners doing business under the firm name of Scorsur & Co.
Defendant

Action for meats sold and delivered

Demand $ 52.15

S. Ewinger
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Sept 2	Complaint filed and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to C. G. Ashman Constable (H. P. T. Jacobsen dep.)
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.

No. 81

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo Reise

Plaintiff

vs.

G. Searsun + B. Lipsich partners doing business under firm name of Searsun + Co.

Defendant

Action assigned C/C

Demand $ 31 25

J. E. Hewitt

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept 3	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable (H. J. T. Jacobsen deputy)
" 7	Summons returned + filed showing personal service of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint on the defendant G. Searsun in the 3rd Township of Fresno Co. on Sept. 6, 1892.
1894 Mch 26	Jno adverse

No 82

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joseph Brune — Plaintiff

vs.

C. M. Jacobsen — Defendant

Action for meats & merchandise & on account

Demand $ 51.35 + $25.00 atty's fee

Struthers & Webb
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept 6	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. F. Atkinson Constable.
" 7	Summons served, returned & filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant C. M. Jacobsen of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept. 6, 1892.
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.

Rec'd my fees - $1.75

No. 83

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Canal & Irrigation Company Plaintiff

vs.

S. J. Irwin Defendant

Action on a note

Demand $100 & int @ 10% per annum from Aug. 25/91 + $2.50 Lath's fee

Atty. E. Church Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept	6	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
"	8	Summons served, returned & filed. Served in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept 8, 1892.
Nov.	5	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs paid.

David C. Rice
Justice of the Peace

84

No. 84

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. Weilheimer — Plaintiff

vs.

W. L. Fletcher — Defendant

Action on a note

Demand $ 100 00 + int at 1% per mo. from April 1, 91.

A. Weilheimer Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~ for pltf.

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept. 6	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ackman Constable.
Oct. 28	Summons returned & filed showing personal service of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 4th Township of Fresno County on Oct. 28, 1892, on the defendant W. L. Fletcher.
Nov. 16	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.

Cost to be paid by pltff.

Rec'd of Pltff Fees

Du within judgment is satisfied in full

No. 85

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Harry Winham as admr. of the Estate of W. P. G. Winham dec'd Plaintiff

vs.

R. L. Barr Defendant

Action on a note

Demand $ 83 80

J. G. Rhodes. Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept. 10	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable (J. A. Ward deputy)
" "	Summons served in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, returned & filed.
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
" 16	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer indorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served on the said defendant R. L. Barr in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept. 10, 1892; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days, has fully expired now therefore on motion of J. G. Rhodes, Esq. attorney for the plaintiff, defendant R. L. Barr's default is duly entered. Thereupon J. G. Rhodes, Esq. is sworn and testifies and a copy of the Letters of Administration is offered in evidence and filed. And on further motion of the said J. G. Rhodes, Esq. judgment is ordered and entered in favor of Harry Winham as administrator of the Estate of W. P. G. Winham deceased and against R. L. Barr for the sum of $83 80/100 Dollars and for the sum of $12 65/100 costs of suit.
	Justice's fee $7.45 — Total judgment $96 45/100
	Constable's fee 5.20 / 12.65 — Done in open Court Sept. 16, 1892
	Clayton Winham Justice of the Peace (S. S. Carleton deputy)
Sept 16 1892 Nov. 24	Execution issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable (S. S. Carleton deputy) " returned & filed unsatisfied.

Rec'd Satisfaction Piece

The within judgment is satisfied in full this 11th day of January 1893. H. B. Austin Justice of the Peace

No 86

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Anna R. B. Farrow — Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Matthews Constable of the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California Defendant

Action Replevin suit.

Demand $ one horse and $75.00; & $100 damages

Austin & Allen
Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo. Warlow
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892	
Sept. 8	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking the day filed. Summons issued and delivered to Jno. G. Ashman Constable. (J. C. Ward deputy)
10	Summons returned & filed showing personal service by the Officer on the defendant Geo. Matthews of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept 8, 1892.
24	It appearing to this Court from the return of the Officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said Officer on the said defendant Geo. Matthews in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept. 8, 1892; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days, has fully expired, upon motion of Messrs Austin & Allen attorneys for the Plaintiff Anna R. B. Farrow defendants default is duly entered.
Oct. 18	The hour set for proving damages & establishing claim fixed at 1 P.M. Oct. 20, 1892 and the parties of interest notified thereof.
20	At the hour set, the parties of interest are present and it is ordered then mutually agreed and consented to, that and the same is rendered and judgment be entered in favor of the plaintiff Anna R. B. Farrow and against the defendant Geo. Matthews Constable of the 3rd Township of Fresno County for the sum of Fifteen Dollars debt and for the sum of Ten and 50/100 Dollars costs of suit. Total judgment $25.50
Oct. 12, 1892	Question viva voced and claim of plaintiff sworn to.
" 13, 1892	Witness sworn & filed.
" 22 1892	Abstract judgment this day issued.

Time in Jus Court Oct. 20, 1892

Chas. R. Rowe
Justice of the Peace.

No. 87

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. H. Adair — Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Hogan et al — Defendant

Action for services rendered

Demand $150.00

J. H. Daly — Attorney for Plaintiff

F. H. Short — Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept 20	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. E. Ashman Constable.
" "	Summons returned & filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Henry Hogan in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept. 20, 1892.
(By error see page 93) " 22	A general demurrer (oral) entered for defendant Henry Hogan by F. H. Short, Esq.

No. 88

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jos. Burns
Plaintiff

vs

F. E. Brann
Defendant

Action for mdse sold & delivered

Demand $ 30 66

J. Ewinger
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept. 13	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. H. Ashman Constable.
" "	Summons & writ of attachment returned & filed.
" "	On motion of plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed & costs paid.

Wm. P. Price
Justice of the Peace.

No. 89

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

L. Rice — Plaintiff

vs.

L. J. Harris — Defendant

Action Summons and complaint and attachment

Demand $ 30.00

J. E. Chevittle — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept 13	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. E. Chevittle Constable.
" "	Summons served this day on the defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County and returned + filed. Writ of attachment this day returned and filed.
" "	Writ of attachment served, returned + filed.
" 15	Undertaking on release of attachment this day filed and attached property ordered released.
Oct 8	The above action this day dismissed + costs paid. on motion of plaintiff & without prejudice. David R. Jones Justice of the Peace

No. 90

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. P. Thrasher — Plaintiff

vs.

George Woods — Defendant

Action on a note

Demand $ 250.00 + int @ 1% p. May 1, 91 com. quar. + $40.00 atty's fee

O. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept 14	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable (H. J. Jacobsen deputy).
" "	Summons returned & filed.
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed.
" "	On motion of plaintiff's attorney the above action is this day dismissed & costs paid.

David R. Price,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 91

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Prussian National Ins. Co. Plaintiff

vs.

S. Lehman Defendant

Action assigned note

Demand $105 48/100

O. L. Everts Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept 15	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable (H. J. Jacobsen deputy)
" 16	Summons returned & filed showing personal service by the Offices on the defendant S. Lehman of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept. 16, 1892.
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
" 23	It appearing to this Court from the return of the Office endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said Office on the said defendant S. Lehman in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept. 16, 1892; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days has fully expired now therefor on motion of O. L. Everts, Esq. attorney for the plaintiff defendant's default is duly entered and on further motion of said attorney judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff the Prussian National Ins. Co. and against the defendant S. Lehman for the sum of $105 48/100 and for the sum of $13 05/100 costs of suit
	Justice's fee 6.95 Constable's fee 6.10 $13.05
	Total judgment $118 53/100
	Done in Open Court Sept 23, 92
	Daniel R. Tinnin Justice of the Peace
1892 Sept 24	Execution issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.

92

No. 92

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. G. Rodgers

Plaintiff

vs.

Samuel Richards

Defendant

Action for goods sold & delivered

Demand $ 12 25

Stratton & Webb

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept 20	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. H. Ashman Constable (S. S. Crutcher deputy.)

Justice fees $1.00 Clerk $.50

No. 93

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. H. Adair — Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Hogan et al. — Defendant

Action for services rendered

Demand $ 150.00

J. H. Daly — Attorney for Plaintiff

F. H. Short — Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept 20	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable. Summons returned & filed showing personal by the officer on the defendant Henry Hogan of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept 20 /92
" 26	A written demurrer filed for defts Henry Hogan by his attorney Frank H. Short Esq.
1893 May 6	Above demurrer set for hearing May 9. 1893, at 1-30 A.M.
" 9	above demurrer submitted without argument overruled & 2 days to answer.
" 10	Defendant by F. H. Short answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint

No. 94

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John F. Daly Plaintiff.

vs.

William Levison Defendant.

Action for work & labor done

Demand $ 125.00

Jas. H. Daly Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept 21	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to A. G. Eastman Constable (S. S. Crutcher deputy)
	Summons returned & filed showing service
	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
	The above action this day

No. 95

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo. Will Johnson
Plaintiff

vs.

Steve N. Nitrovich
Defendant

Action on a note

Demand $150 00/100 + int @ 7% per an. from Oct 8, 190

J. E. Woolfolk
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept 23	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. S. Ashman Constable. Affidavit and writ of attachment this day filed and writ of attachment issued & delivered to J. S. Ashman Constable (J. A. Ward deputy)
" 24	Summons returned & filed showing service
" 24	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
" "	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs paid.

Clayton E. Sims
Justice of the Peace.

No. 96

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Calvin N. Macy
Plaintiff.

vs.

L. O. Macy
Defendant.

Action Assigned $ & for labor done

Demand $ 216 00

Calvin N. Macy
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~.

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept 23	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. E. Ackerman Constable (J. A. Ward deputy).
Sept 27. Costs paid.	
" 27	Writ of attachment filed.

No. 97

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. M. Mc Guire
Plaintiff

vs.

J. M. Statham, Willet & Gates
B. T. Alford & R. C. Thorn
Defendant

Action on a note.

Demand $100 00/100 + int @ 1% per mo. fr. Nov. 16/92 compd. quar. + $25 Atty's fee

Wiley J. Finnin
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept 23	Complaint filed.
Oct. 18	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs paid.

David R. Thorn
Justice of the Peace

98

No. 98

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. T. Hilton
Plaintiff.

vs.

Frank Witham
Defendant.

Action for rents & work done

Demand $ 41.00

F. T. Hilton in person
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept. 28	Complaint filed and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Aikman Constable (S. S. Crutcher deputy)
Oct. 1st	Summons returned & filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Frank Witham of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in the 7th Township of Fresno County on Sept. 28, 1892.
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
" 10	Def. appearing & this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant Frank Witham in the 7th Township of Fresno County on Sept. 28, 1892; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Ten days has fully expired now therefor on motion of Plaintiff defendant's default is duly entered, and on further motion of said plaintiff judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff F. T. Hilton and against the defendant Frank Witham for the sum of $41.00 debt and for the sum of $14.65 costs of suit.
	Justice fees 4.95 Total judgment $55.65
	Constable fees 9.70
	$14.65
	Given in Open Court Oct 10 1892
	David A. Drew Justice of the Peace
Oct 10 1892	Execution issued and delivered to J. G. Aikman Constable
Oct 25 1894	Execution issued and delivered to A. M. Drew

No. 99

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

204.71
1.25
205.96

C. Jacobsen & H. Ahrensberg doing business under the firm name of Jacobsen & Ahrensberg, Plaintiff

vs.

M. Burns Defendant

Action for rent & work done

Demand $35.00

H. Ahrensberg Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept 28	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Gr. Mathews Constable.
Oct 6	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant M. Burns of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Oct. 5, 1892
" 12	A written demurrer this day filed by J. H. Daly, Esq. for defendant.
Dec. 28	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs and costs paid. Chas. R. Lane, Justice of the Peace.

No. 100

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. R. Furnish — Plaintiff

vs.

D. H. Walton — Defendant

Action assigned C/C

Demand $ 57 90

W. R. Furnish Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Sept	29 1892	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued & delivered to J. E. Ashman Constable.
"	30	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant D H Walton in the 3d Twp. Fresno County of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint on Sept. 30, 1892
Oct	6	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
"	18	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant D. H. Walton in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Sept. 30, 1892; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all, and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days has fully elapsed now therefore on motion of W. R. Furnish and his attorney C. C. Merriam, Esq., defendants default is hereby entered; and on further motion of said C. C. Merriam, Esq. judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W. R. Furnish and against the defendant D. H. Walton for the sum of $57 90/100 debt and further sum of $5 50/100 costs of suit.
		Constable fee $5 50/100.
		Total judgment $63 40/100
		Done in Open Court Oct. 18, 1892.
		Dantes S. Turner, Justice of the Peace.
Oct	20 1892	Execution issued and delivered to J. E. Ashman Constable.
Nov.	26	Execution returned & filed fully satisfied.

No. 101

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. E. Woolittle — Plaintiff

vs.

S. Sewellan — Defendant

Action for goods, wares & merchandise

Demand $ 262 32

J. E. Woolittle in person Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept. 29	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to

102

No. 102

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. Huber — Plaintiff

J. Ernest + P. J. Reardon partners doing business under the firm name & style of Ernest & Reardon — Defendants

Action

Demand $ 48 65

J. Huber for Plaintiff
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept. 29	Complaint filed.

No. 103

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R. P. Jensen — Plaintiff

vs.

Hans P. Larsen — Defendant

Action for work & labor done

Demand $ 10 25/100

J. E. Woolstitle — Attorney for Plaintiff

Gordon, Jacobs & Jones — Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept. 29	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to F. G. Ashman Constable (H. J. T. Jackson deputy)
Oct. 8	A written answer filed for defendant by his attorneys Messrs Gordon, Jacobs & Jones.
Nov. 16	Undertaking on release of attachment this day filed.
Dec. 23	The summons served, returned & filed.
" -	Writ of attachment returned & filed.
" "	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs paid.

David P. Bales,
Justice of the Peace.

No 104

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. W. Johnson
Plaintiff

vs.

Thos. Trudge
Defendant

Action for goods, wares & merchandise

Demand $ 50 00

J. E. Sherlittle
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept 29	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Coleman Constable (A. J. T. Jackson deputy.

Rec'd Justice fees & D. R. Prince

Nov. 29/92. Notice of time & place for auction & pinetty
Nov. 30/92. Returned with the return of the auction Thos. Coleman
Dec 1/92 Plaintiff's costs $39.50 This day Amos and Amos Peoples filed Affidavit & Undertaking & Notice of appeal & Stay of proceedings & the Deputy Constable

(Continued from page 104)

M. J. Caetano
vs.
August Amoring

find verdict for plaintiff for the sum of Two Hundred and thirty five dollars $225 00. Maurice Rapshus Foreman. Therefore the Court in accordance with the aforesaid verdict, judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff M. J. Caetano and against the defendant August Amoring for the sum of $225 00 dollars and for the sum of $98 00 costs of suit.

Justices fee	$35 00
Constables fee	$4.30
Jury fee	36.00
Witness fee	22.20
	$98.00

Total judgment $323 00

Done in open Court Nov. 15, 1892

Daniel R. Prince
Justice of the Peace.

15 Defendant granted a ten days stay of execution.
18 Cost bill this day filed.
22 Notice of appeal this day filed.
Undertaking on appeal this day filed.
Motion to tax costs this day filed.
26 Motion to sustain this day filed.

No. 105

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry H. Johnson — Plaintiff

vs.

M. Smith — Defendant

Action for services rendered

Demand $182.60

O. M. Seaton — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept	30	Complaint filed; and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. E. Ashman Constable
Oct	3	Summons served, returned & filed.
"	"	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
"	10	The above action dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs paid.

Augustus C. Thurmond
Justice of the Peace

M. J. Castano

vs.

August Amoring.

(Continued from page 109)

1892 Nov.	12	Thereupon the trial is adjourned until Nov. 14, 92 @ 10 A.M.
"	14	At the hour set the parties at interest are present. The attorneys herein proceed to argue a question of law and the jury is excused until 1.30 P.M. this day.
"	"	At 1.30 P.M. the jury is again opened until 4 P.M.
"	"	At 4 P.M. the parties at interest are present and this days session opens with C. A. Alcorn on the stand. O. C. Semple and G. M. Allen, Jas. Johnson and J. M. Colvig are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff. The Court thereupon adjourns until Nov. 15, 92 at 9 A.M.
"	15	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial opens with M. J. Castano on the stand. The plaintiff closes. Thereupon Chris Cole, Mrs. L. Amoring and August Amoring are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant. Then M. J. Castano is recalled by the plaintiff in rebuttal. The testimony being closed the case is argued and submitted to the jury. A. S. Croutha Affdvt. is sworn in and takes charge of the jury. The jury retires and after a short deliberation brings in the following verdict: "Fresno, Cal. Nov. 15, 1892. We the jury (Continued on page 104)

No 106

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Dunbar, Emmons & Co. (a corporation) Plaintiff.

vs.

Wm Shortridge Defendant.

Action on a note

Demand, $ 301 04/100 (principal & interest) + 25 = atty's fee.

D. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Sept 30	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
Nov. 10	Summons returned & filed showing personal service of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Oct. 3rd, 1892 by F. R. Crocker.
" "	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant William Shortridge in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Oct 3rd, 1892; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor has fully expired now therefore on motion of D. M. Seaton, Esq. attorney for the plaintiff default of the defendant is duly entered; and on further motion of the said D. M. Seaton, Esq. judgment is ordered and entered in favor of Dunbar, Emmons & Co. (a corporation) and against Wm. Shortridge for the sum of $273 04/100 debt and for the sum of $28 00/100 interest and $25 00/100 attorney's fee and for $5 95/100 costs of suit. Justice fee $3.95 Total judgment $331 99/100 Constable fee 2.00 $5.95 Time in Open Court Nov. 10, 92 Daniel R. Fenn Justice of the Peace
1892 Nov. 10	Execution issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable (H. F. T. Jackson deputy.)
Dec. 21	Execution returned & filed.
" "	On motion of D. M. Seaton, Esq. the above judgment is paid and satisfied in full. Daniel R. Fenn J.P.

No. 107

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Manuel Silva Plaintiff

vs.

Antone Cordoza Defendant

Action for work & labor done

Demand $ 73.50

Manuel Silva for Plaintiff
Attorney

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Oct 12 1892	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Jno. Matthews Constable (J. M. Lucas deputy)
" 13	Summons returned & filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Antone Cordoza of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Oct. 12, 1892
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
" 17	Defendant this day through his attorneys Monroe Austin & Chas. Austin enters a general denial (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.

No. 108

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. G. Rodgers, Plaintiff.

vs.

M. Smith, Defendant.

Action for work & labor done

Demand $ 95.00

C. G. Rodgers in person Attorney for Plaintiff

and also Struthers & Webb Attorneys for Plaintiff. M. Smith Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Oct. 1892	1	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. E. Ackman Constable (S. S. Crutcher deputy)
"	4	Summons returned served & filed
"	-	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy. + copy complaint
"	8	A written answer this day filed by defendant.
"	"	Case denied to cross complaint this day entered
"	10	The above action set for trial on Oct. 17, 92 at 2. P. M.
"	17	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: Motion made to strike out cross complaint made, denied and exception to. Thereupon C. G. Rodgers is sworn and testifies in his own behalf; and M. Smith, J. L. Washer and Chas. Preuss are sworn and testify in behalf of the defence. The plaintiff is recalled in rebuttal. The case is then submitted to the Court for consideration and determination and is by the Court taken under advisement.
Nov.	17	The Court this day finds for the plaintiff. Whereupon judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff C. G. Rodgers and against the defendant M. Smith for the sum of $62 50/100 debt and for the sum of $22 50/100 costs of suit. Constables fee $8.50 Justices fee $14.00 = $22.50 Total judgment $84 50/100 Done in open Court Nov. 17, 92. Daniel R. Ames Justice of the Peace
Nov. 1892	23	Stay of execution for five days granted by the Court.
"	28	Undertaking on appeal this day filed.
Dec.	14	Notice of appeal this day filed.
"	17	Justices fee this day paid; & the papers on file herein and transcript of docket transferred to the Superior Court.

No. 109

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. J. Caetano — Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Alear - alias August Amorig — Defendant

Action for damages

Demand $ 299.00

W. D. Grady — Attorney for Plaintiff

Stanton L. Carter — Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Oct 3	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Jas. Qualls (dep. Con.)
" 5	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant above true name is August Amorig of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Oct. 4, 1892.
" 7	A written demurrer this day filed for defendant by his attorney Stanton L. Carter, Esq.
" 17	A written answer this day filed by defendant.
" "	The above action set for trial on Oct. 22, 92 at 9.30 A. M. & the parties of interest notified thereof.
" 18	A subpoena for a witness issued by the defendant
" 20	Said subpoena served, returned and filed.
" 22	A subpoena for witnesses for the plaintiff this day issued, served, returned and filed.
" "	At the hour set for trial the parties of interest are present but owing to the absence of material witnesses, the action is continued until Nov. 12, 1892 at 1 P. M.
Nov. 10	A subpoena for witnesses for the defendant this day issued.
" 11	The above subpoena served, returned and filed.
" 12	At the hour set for trial the parties of interest are present. Thereupon the attorney for the plaintiff asks for a jury to try the issue and it is by the attorney for the defendant so agreed. Plaintiff moves that the true name of defendant August Amorig inserted in this action. The Court so orders. & filed.
" "	A venire for twenty jurors this day issued, summoned, returned.
" "	By the mutual agreement of the attorneys herein the following six jurors are selected, sworn in and agreed upon to try the issue: M. Rorphuro, S. Hammond, F. Kramm, J. A. Richter, C. Macy and H. Hart.
	Thereupon August Amorig, C. A. Aiken and M. J. Caetano are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff.

(Continued on page 105)

No. 110

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Buffalo Brewing Company
a Corporation
Plaintiff
vs and
J. Johnson
M. Anderson
Defendant

Action Goods, wares and merchandize

Demand $ 157 Cts

F. H. Short
Attorney for Plaintiff

S. J. Hinds
Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	
1892 Oct	5	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.	
"	7	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on the defendant J. Johnson of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint and on the defendant M. Anderson of a copy of the summons in the Third Twp. of Fresno County on Oct 6th 1892 by the said officer	
Paid my justice fees in the above entitled cause.	12	The written answer of J. Johnson this day filed by S. J. Hinds, Esq.	1.25
1893 Jany	7	On Motion of Defts Atty Case set for trial Jany 14 1892 at 9-a m and parties notified.	.25
"	11	It appearing to the Court that the complaint and answer has been mislaid or lost, on motion of F H Short it is ordered that a carbon copy of complaint be filed nunc pro tunc as of Oct 5. 1892.	.50
"	14	Copy of complaint filed nunc pro tunc as of Oct 5. 1892	.25
"		On motion of S J Hinds it is ordered that a copy of the answer of J Johnson be filed nunc pro tunc as of Oct 12. 1892	.50
"	14	Copy of Answer filed nunc pro tunc as of Oct 12. 1892	.25
"	14	By agreement the trial of the above cause is continued to Monday Jany 16. 1893 at 7. P. M.	.50
"	14	Jury demanded by Defendant Johnson	.25
"	14	Venire issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	.25
"	16	Venire returned and filed.	.25
"	16	Subpoena for Oscar Greenbaum and Scheck issued	.50
"	16	above subpoena returned and filed	.25
"	16	At the time set all parties are present in Court with their Attorneys and announce themselves ready for trial, and the trial proceeds, the following Jurors are selected and sworn to try the cause viz: Eli Combs, F. B. Fay, Frank King, S B Combs Chas Bunch, J. L. Grainger, A F Peters, N Tungi P. P Payne. Wm Shanklin, J J Conkhite and R E Marshall See next page	

Apr 6. 1893 - Justice's Fees Tables Fees paid by Plaintiff

No. 111

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W D Grady — Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Wolff — Defendant

Action Debt

Demand $ 100 ⁰⁰

W D Grady Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1892 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Oct	5	Complaint filed and summons issued

Buffalo Brewing Co
vs
J. Johnson et al
} from page 110.

Otto Nestel is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff and J. Johnson — Schuck and Oscar Greenbaum are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendants. The cause is argued by Counsel and submitted to the Jury. Wm Shaw Constable is sworn to take charge of the Jury who retire and after deliberation return into Court with the following verdict. "We the Jury find for the plaintiff I. L. Granger Foreman, in the sum of One hundred and fifty-seven and 80/100 ($157 80/100) Dollars."

Wherefor It is ordered adjudged and decreed that Judgment be and the same is hereby rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff The Buffalo Brewing Company a corporation and against the defendants J. Johnson and M Anderson for the sum of $157 80/100 principal and for $47 00 costs of suit.

Done in open Court this 16th day of January 1893.

A. Austin
Justice of the Peace

Justices fees 12.40
Constable fees 8.40
Witness fees 2.20
Jury fees. 24.00

Apr 6. 1893. Justices & Constables fees paid by Plaintiff

Jany 16 On motion of defendant a stay of execution for 5 days is ordered 50

20 Cost Bill this day filed 25

No. 112

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. L. Hotchkiss — Plaintiff

vs.

W. A. Howard — Defendant

Action Debt

Demand $ 36 13

J. E. Doolittle — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1899		
Oct	7	Complaint filed and summons issued; and affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed, and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman, Constable

No. 113

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. Black — Plaintiff

vs.

L. J. Harris — Defendant

Action for work & labor done

Demand $ 173 30

W. Black in person
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1892 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Oct	10	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable (S. S. Crutcher deputy)
"	"	Summons served in the 3rd Township of Fresno County and this day returned & filed. The said return shows a personal service by the officer on the defendant of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint
"	21	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy. (Petition of insolvency filed)

No. 114

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. Imperatrice
Plaintiff.
vs.
L. J. Harms
Defendant.

Action for work & labor done

Demand $ 117 00

A. Imperatrice
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant.

1892 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Oct. 10	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to P. G. Ashman Constable (S. S. Crutcher deputy).
" "	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant L. J. Harms of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Oct. 10, 1892.
Nov. 26	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy: (defendant filed petition of insolvency)

No. 115

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. E. Woolittle — Plaintiff

vs.

L. J. Hanna — Defendant

Action assigned accounts

Demand $218.10

W. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1892 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Oct 10	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. R. Ashman Constable. (H. J. T. Jacobs deputy)

No. 116

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. Boettner
Plaintiff.

vs

E. M. Ratto
Defendant.

Action Assigned 9/c + work & labor claim

Demand $ 85-00

J. E. Woolittle
Attorney for ~~Plaintiff~~ Plff

Attorney for Defendant

1892 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Act. 10	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. E. Ashman Constable (H. J. T. Jacobsen deputy)

Act 10 Issued Writ & Summons & Constable's Fees

No. 117

In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. E. Woolcottle — Plaintiff

vs.

E. M. Ratto — Defendant

Action Assigned a/c

Demand $ 42.40

J. E. Woolcottle Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1895 Oct 10	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable (H. J. T. Jackson deputy)

No. 118

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. P. Blackler & Co. Plaintiff

vs

E. M. Ratto Defendant

Action for Indse

Demand $ 98.25

Stratton & Webb Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1892		
Oct.	10	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. K. Ackman Constable.
	20	Writ of attachment returned & filed & Sheriffs fees.
"	"	Ord motion of the attorneys for the plaintiff the foregoing levied by the above writ of attachment is this day released.
"	"	Summons returned & filed without service.

Const & Clerk Fresno Township
By Wm Ord Deputy
Oct 20 1892

No. 119

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. J. Williams — Plaintiff

vs.

E. M. Ratto — Defendant

Action for meats sold & delivered.

Demand $ 106 90

W. O. Grady & Jos. Gallagher — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Oct. 10	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. H. Ackman Constable.
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
" 22	On motion of the attorneys for the plaintiff the property levied on by the above writ of attachment is this day released.
Nov. 26	Summons returned & filed. No service made.
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.

120

No. 120

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. E. Charlitte — Plaintiff

vs.

Sam Zeitz — Defendant

Action assigned C/C

Demand $ 97 62

J. E. Charlitte in pro per
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Oct. 10 1892	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Coleman Constable.

Rec'd copy Writ &c

No. 121

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Alford Coffman Company (a Corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

C. Hank Hawn Defendant

Action for meats sold & delivered.

Demand $217.05

W. D. Grady & Jas. Gallagher Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Oct. 13	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable (J. A. Ward deputy.
Nov. 16	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 16, 1892 on the defendant C. H. Hawn.
1893. Jany 20	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the third Township of the County of Fresno on the 16th day of November 1892, and it further appearing that the defendant C Hank Hawn has not appeared or answered herein in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time within which he might appear or answer to wit: five days has expired. Now on motion of Jas Gallagher one of the attorneys for the plaintiff ~~the~~ It is ordered that the default of the defendant C Hank Hawn be and the same is hereby entered this 20th day of Jany 1893.
	And on further motion of Jas Gallagher it is ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff The Alford Coffman Company, a corporation do have and recover judgment of and from the defendant C. H. Hank Hawn for the sum of $217.05 debt and for the sum of $7.90 costs of suit.
	Total Judgment $. 224.95
Justice costs 5.90	Done in open Court Jany 20. 1893.
Constables 2.00	
7.90	
Jany 20th	Execution issued Hz Austin Justice of the Peace
Nov 11	Execution issued & delivered to Jas Gallagher

Rec'd money Justice Fees $7.90 Pd. Ck.

No. 122

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Wm. J. Sisk, Plaintiff,

vs

Geo. Matheson, Defendant.

Action claim of personal property

Demand $ 1 bed or its value $125.00 & for $50.00 dam.

S. R. Hart
Attorney for Plaintiff

L. O. Evetts
Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1892		
Oct.	13	Complaint and affidavit on claim and delivery of personal property this day filed. Summons issued and delivered to J. S. Ashman Constable (S. S. Crutcher deputy.)
"	14	Summons served, returned & filed. Service made in the 3rd Township of Fresno County.
"	19	A written demurrer this day filed by O. L. Evetts, Esq.
Nov.	1	The above demurrer set for argument at 9 A.M. Nov. 14, 1892 & the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	14	The above demurrer this day argued & is by the Court taken under advisement.
Dec.	12	The Court this day overrules defendants demurrer and on motion gives the said defendant two days in which to answer.
	13	defendant this day files a written answer.
"		The trial of the above action set for Dec. 23, 92 at 1.30 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
	23	At the hour set the parties at interest are present. Thereupon the defendant by his attorney moves the Court for a change of venue and files an affidavit in support thereof. The Court grants said change. Thereupon it is by the attorneys in open court agreed and stipulated that said action be transferred for trial to Recorder Lamy's Court in Fresno City and it is by the Court so ordered.

Rec'd Justice fees in full.
W. R. Lamy

Justices fee $6.75/100

Walter R. Lamy
Justice of the Peace,
Said Township.

No. 123

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. O Quinby Plaintiff

vs.

Ed. Stewart Defendant

Action Settled 9/c

Demand $45.25/100

A. O Quinby in proper Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Oct. 14	Complaint filed.

No. 124

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. O Grimsby
Plaintiff.

vs.

W. H. Wright
Defendant.

Action on a/c

Demand $ 20.00

A. O Grimsby in his own
Attorney for ~~Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Oct. 14	Complaint filed.

No. 125

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

O. A. Clunbar Plaintiff

vs.

G Borbon Defendant

Action for goods, wares & merchandise.

Demand $ 13.00

J. G. Rhodes Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Oct. 14	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
"	Summons served, returned and filed — Service made in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Oct. 14, 1892.
" 17	Defendant this day enters a general denial (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.
" 18	The above action set for trial on Oct. 20, 92 at 11 A.M.
" 20	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: O. A. Clunbar is sworn and testifies in his own behalf; and G. A. Bourbon and G. Granillo are sworn and testify in behalf of the defence. Thereupon the case is argued and by the Court taken under advisement.
Nov. 16	The Court this day adds the case reopened and notifies the parties thereof. All parties at interest being present the sworn testimony of J. W. Ashman for the plaintiff is taken.
" "	Thereupon the Court finds for the plaintiff. Wherefore judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff O. A. Clunbar and against the defendant G. Borbon for the sum of Thirteen Dollars debt and for the sum of Six + 25/100 Dollars costs of suit.

Costs of suit.

Justice's fee $4.75

Constable's fee 2.00

$6.75

Total judgment $19 25/100

Done in Open Court Nov. 16, 1892

Daniel C. Prim

Justice of the Peace

1892 Nov. 23 Execution issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.

" 25 " returned and filed unsatisfied.

126

No. 126

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Louis Scholler
Plaintiff.

vs.

Armbruster & Higgins
(Partners)
Defendant.

Action for goods sold & delivered.

Demand $ 48 00

Louis Scholler in pro per
Attorney for ~~Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Oct. 15	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
" 19	Summons returned & filed.
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed.
" 20	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs paid.

Charles R. Timm
Justice of the Peace.

No. 127

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. B. Rogers — Plaintiff

vs.

C. Hollis, C. H. Perkins et al — Defendant

Action Breach of contract.

Demand $299.00 cts

S. Jackson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Oct. 17	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to Geo Heathman Constable

No. 128

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John C. Lenel
Plaintiff
vs.
William A. Shepherd
Defendant

Action In damages

Demand $ 150.00

John C. Lenel
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1892 Oct	17	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ackman Constable (J. A. Ward. deputy)
"	20	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant W. A. Shepherd of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Oct. 17, 1892.
"	22	A written answer this day filed by D. M. Seaton, Esq. attorney for the defendant.
Nov.	10	The above action set for trial on Nov. 23, 192 at 9 A. M. & the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	23	At the hour set the defendant is present but owing to the sickness of the plaintiff the trial of the above action is indefinitely postponed.
Dec.	3	The trial of the above action is set for 1 P. M. Dec. 19, 1892 & the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	19	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs paid.

David C. Tower,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 129

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Susan Heath — Plaintiff

vs.

Floyd Knox — Defendant

Action for room rent etc.

Demand $ 960

W. J. Timmins — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Act. 18 1892	Complaint filed and summons issued & delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.

No 130

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. J. Pedlar and T. M. Hayden doing business under the firm name of Pedlar and Hayden Plaintiff

vs.

Andrew Stier Defendant

Action for services rendered

Demand $ 40.00

T. M. Hayden Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Oct	1892 19	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
Nov.	5	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Andrew Stier of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 4th 1892.
"	9	Defendant enters a general appearance (oral)
"	28	The above action set for trial on Dec. 2, 92 at 1.30 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	"	A subpoena this day issued.
Dec.	2	The above subpoena returned & filed.
"	"	At the hour set, the trial of the above action is continued until Dec. 10, 92 at 9 A. M.
"	10	At the hour set for trial the plaintiff T. M. Hayden is present with his attorney J. G. Rhodes, Esq. and the said defendant not appearing and after waiting for more than one hour for him to make his appearance and the said defendant still not appearing the Court orders plaintiff to open their case. (Hereby enters defendants default and) T. M. Hayden is sworn and testifies. From the testimony adduced the Court finds for the plaintiffs. Wherefore judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs A. J. Pedlar and T. M. Hayden and against the defendant Andrew Stier for the sum of Forty Dollars and Costs and for the sum of Six and 75/100 costs of suit.
		Costables fee $2.00
		Justices fee 4.75
		$6.75
		Total judgment $10.75
		Done in open Court Dec. 10, 1892
		Daniel R. Shaw Justice of the Peace
Dec.	1892 12	Execution issued and delivered to J. G. Rhodes.
"	27	" returned & filed partially satisfied. Court Fees $5.50 by Con.

Paid Justice fees from Plff.

No. 131

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. B. Pond — Plaintiff

vs.

John M. Hunsley — Defendant

Action for damages

Demand $ 195 00

Thompson & King
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Struthers & Webb.
Attorneys for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Oct 19	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ackman Constable.
Nov. 1st	Summons returned & filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant John M. Hunsley of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 1st, 92
" 3	A written answer this day filed for defendant by his attorneys Messrs Struthers & Webb.
" 15	The above action set for trial on Dec. 5, 92 at 1.30 P. M. and the parties & interest notified.
Dec 3	A subpoena for the plaintiff this day issued.
Dec. 3	The above subpoena returned and filed.
" "	The parties & interest are present and by ~~the~~ ~~court~~ of the ~~above action~~ ~~is entered~~ the trial proceeds: C. B. Pond, J. E. Harney, W. J. Birkett and Jacob Sisium are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff. Plaintiff thereupon closes and defendant moves to nonsuit plaintiff on the grounds based upon both law and fact. The Court grants said motion. Whereupon judgment for the costs taxed at $16 85/100 is rendered and entered in favor of the defendant John M. Hunsley and against the plaintiff C. B. Pond.

Justice's fee = $8.25
Constable fee = 2.00
$10.25

Witness fee =
J. E. Harney = 2.20
J. Sisium = 2.20
W. J. Birkett = 2.20
6.60

Done in open Court Dec. 3, 1892
Daniel C. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

No. 132

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John C. Clemel — Plaintiff.

vs.

Henry H. Boren — Defendant.

Action for services rendered

Demand $ 62.00

John C. Clemel — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Oct. 20	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. F. Ashman Constable.
" 25	Summons served, returned & filed.
" 29	A written answer this day filed by defendant.
" "	A written offer to allow judgment in the sum of $25.00 + costs this day filed by defendant.
Nov. 10	The above action set for trial on Nov. 23, 92 at 1 P. M. & the parties at interest notified thereof.
" "	A subpoena for witnesses this day issued.
" 23	At the hour set the defendant is present but owing to the sickness of the plaintiff the trial of the above action is indefinitely postponed.
Dec. 3	The trial of the above action is set for 1 P. M. Dec. 19, 1892 & the parties at interest notified thereof.
" 19	A subpoena for a witness for the defense this day issued, served, returned & filed.
" "	At the hour set for trial the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: Defendant withdraws his offer to allow judgment for $25.00. C. D. Edwards + Thompson & John C. Clemel, are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff; and the defendant Henry H. Boren and W. A. Harris are sworn and testify in behalf of the defense. The Court takes the matter under advisement.
" 31	The Court this day finds for the plaintiff. Wherefore judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff John C. Clemel and against the defendant Henry H. Boren for the sum of $25.00 debt and for the sum of $11.00 Costs of Suit.

Total judgment $36.00

Done in Open Court Dec. 31, 92

Daniel C. Prince, Justice of the Peace

Justice fee = $7.00

Constable's fee = 2.00

$9.00

Writ fees C. D. Edwards 2.00

$11.00

1893

Jany 4 Execution issued and delivered to ~~Wm Shaw~~ Jo Mathews Constable

Mch 28 Execution returned wholly unsatisfied

" 29 Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable

May 28 Execution returned and filed wholly satisfied

No. 133

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Albert F. Mood — Plaintiff

vs.

F. J. Crank — Defendant

Action for work & labor done

Demand $ 80.00

Albert F. Mood Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~.

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Oct 20	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
" 25	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the Sheriff on the defendant F. J. Crank of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Oct. 25 1892.
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
Nov. 1st	It appearing to this Court from the return of the Sheriff endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said Sheriff on the said defendant F. J. Crank in the Third Township of Fresno County on Oct. 25, 1892; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way on or at all and the legal time therefor [illegible]: Five days has fully expired, now therefore on motion of the plaintiff Albert F. Mood, default of F. J. Crank's defendant is hereby entered; and on further motion of the said plaintiff judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Albert F. Mood and against the defendant F. J. Crank for the sum of Eight Dollars debt and further sum of Twelve + 45/100 Dollars costs of suit.
	Justice fee $6.95
	Constable's fee 5.50
	$12.45
	Total judgment $92 45/100
	Time in Open Court Nov 1st 1892
	Chester R. Turner Justice of the Peace.
Nov. 1892 1st	Execution issued & delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
" 26	" returned & filed unsatisfied.

Nov. 24, 92 Court Said by Plaintiff

134

No. 134

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Freeman Richardson — Plaintiff

vs.

F. J. Crank — Defendant

Action for work & labor done

Demand $ 70 00

Freeman Richardson Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Oct 20	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable
" 25	Summons returned & filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant F. J. Crank of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the Third Township of Fresno County on Oct. 25, 1892.
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
Nov. 1st	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer indorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant F. J. Crank in the Third Township of Fresno County on Oct. 25, 1892; & it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days has fully expired, now therefore on motion of the plaintiff Freeman Richardson defendant F. J. Crank's default is hereby entered; and on further motion of the said plaintiff judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Freeman Richardson and against the defendant F. J. Crank for the sum of Seventy Dollars debt and for the sum of Twelve and 45/100 Dollars costs of suit.
	Justice fee $6.95 — Total judgment $82 45/100
	Constable fee 5.50 $12.45 — Done in Open Court Nov. 1st, 1892.
	Walter C. Prince, Justice of the Peace.
Nov. 1892 1st	Execution issued & delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
" 26	" returned & filed without satisfaction in whole, via part
Dec. 13	Costs of suit paid this day by plaintiff.

No. 135

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. R. Hampton — Plaintiff

vs.

Fitzgerald Bros — Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand $ 121 77/100

E. D. Edwards — Attorney for Plaintiff

Frank H. Short — Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Oct 20	Complaint and summons and demurrer and demurrer to amended complaint and affidavit for change of venue and transcript of docket this day filed. — The said papers having been transferred to this Court on a change of venue from the Justice Court of J. P. Cobb of the 2nd Township of Fresno Co.
Nov. 16	The above demurrer this day overruled by consent of defendant's attorney
" "	Defendant this day answers, entering a general denial (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.
" "	The above action set for trial on Nov. 28, 92 at 2 P. M. & the parties at interest notified thereof.
" "	A subpoena for witnesses for the plaintiff this day issued.
" 18	A subpoena for a witness for the plaintiff this day issued.
" 28	On motion the trial of the above action postponed until Dec. 16, 1892 at 10 A. M.
Dec. 16	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: E. D. Edwards, Esq. appears for the plaintiff and Frank H. Short and H. J. Austin for the defendants. W. R. Hampton, Mrs. Ed. McKenzie and W. H. McKenzie are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff. Thereupon defendant moves the Court to nonsuit plaintiff herein because plaintiff is not the real party in interest. The Court takes the motion under advisement.
" 31	The Court this day grants said motion. Wherefore judgment for the costs taxed at $8 25/100 is rendered and entered in favor of the defendants Nat. Fitzgerald & Richard Fitzgerald and against the plaintiff W. R. Hampton. Justice fees = $8 25/100

Dante R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

136

No. 136

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. O. Linsley — Plaintiff.

vs

F. G. Noyes — Defendant.

Action for meals furnished

Demand $ 6 50/100

H. O. Linsley
Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Oct. 22	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to F. G. Eastman Constable (S. S. Crutcher deputy.)
Nov. 1st	Summons returned & filed showing personal service by the Officer on the defendant F. G. Noyes of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 1st, 1892.
Dec. 24	It appearing to this Court from the return of the Officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said Officer on the said defendant F. G. Noyes in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 1st, 1892; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all and the legal time therefor (Five days) has fully expired; now therefore on motion of S. S. Crutcher appearing for plaintiff, defendant F. G. Noyes' default is duly entered; and on further motion of S. S. Crutcher, judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff H. O. Linsley and against the defendant F. G. Noyes for the sum of $6 50/100 debt and for the sum of $11 85/100 costs of suit.
	Justice's fee = $6.95 — Total judgment $18 35/100
	Constable's fee = 4.90 — $11.85
	Done in Open Court Dec. 24, 1892
	Daniel R. Stine, Justice of the Peace
1893 Jany 11	Execution issued.

No. 137

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Louis Nowotny
Plaintiff

vs.

John Baird, Wm. Baird, Andrew Baird, D. Baird and R. Baird doing business under the firm name of Baird Bros.
Defendants

Action for work & labor done

Demand $293.25/100

Thompson & King
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Geo. E. Church
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Oct. 22	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. E. Ashman Constable.
" "	Summons returned & filed showing service on the defendants John Baird and Robert Baird.
" 27	A written demurrer this day filed for defendants by their attorney Geo. E. Church, Esq.
" "	A written offer to allow judgment for $107.00 this day filed by defendants.
Nov. 9	By consent of Geo. E. Church, Esq. the above demurrer is this day overruled; and by consent of Messrs Thompson & King, attorneys for the plaintiff, the attorney for the defendants is given five days in which to answer.
" 14	Defendant this day came into Court and enters a general denial (oral) to plaintiffs complaint.
" 15	The above action set for trial on Nov. 21st, 92 at 2 P. M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.
" 21	On motion of the parties at interest the above trial is postponed until Nov. 25, 92 at end of the 11 A. M.
" 25	By consent of the parties at interest the above action is postponed until 1 P. M. Dec 6, 1892. Nov 29/92 a subpoena this day issued.
Dec 6	The same subpoena returned & filed.
Dec. 6	By consent of the parties at interest the trial of the above action is postponed until 10 A. M. Dec. 10, 1892
" 9	A subpoena for witness this day issued.
" 10	Two subpoenas for witnesses this day issued.
" "	The above subpoenas served, returned & filed.
" "	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: Thompson & King appear for plaintiff & Geo. E. Church for defendants: Louis Nowotny, C. B. Paul and L. G. Bromell are sworn & testify in behalf of the plaintiff; and Andrew Baird, John Baird and S. Lingen and A. Pimeire & Louis Wartenberg are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendants. After argument by counsel the Court Taken the matter under advisement &

(Continued on next page)

138

No. 138

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Manuel V. Gularte — Plaintiff

vs.

Frank J. Jones — Defendant

Action damages

Demand $ 299.00

J. R. Hart
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Oct. 1892	22	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Coleman Constable (S. S. Crutcher deputy.)
Nov.	19	Summons returned & filed without service.
"	"	A written dismissal this day filed.
"	"	The above action this day dismissed on motion of Plaintiff's attorney J. R. Hart and costs & costs paid.

Dante C. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

Louis Novotny
vs.
John Baird et al

} Continued from page 137.

Dec. 1892 12 The Court this day finds for the Plaintiff in the sum of $10.00.

" " Whereupon judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Louis Novotny and against the defendants John Baird, Andrew Baird for the sum of One hundred and four dollars: But as defendants before the trial of this action filed a written offer to allow judgment to be entered in the sum of One hundred & seven dollars and plaintiff refused to accept the same and only received judgment in the sum of One hundred and four dollars; therefore in accordance with the law in the premises the costs herein taxed at $21.10 are awarded to the said plaintiff Louis Novotny.

Justice's fee - $10.70 Time in Open Court Dec. 12, 1892
Constable fee - 10.40
$21.10

Dante C. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

Dec. 1892 14 Memorandum of costs this day filed.

" 17 The above judgment this day satisfied in full. Dante C. Prince J.P.

No. 139

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Cor. Hurley — Plaintiff

vs.

John G. Lowery — Defendant

Action on a note

Demand $ 160 00/100 + int @ 1% per mo. fr. May 15, 92 comp. quar.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Oct. 22 1892	The complaint herein was by me filed on Aug. 1st, 1892 and accidentally misdid and not entered in this docket until Oct. 22, 1892, and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashmun Constable on said Aug 1st, 1892.

No. 140

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Calvin Bigelow — Plaintiff.

vs.

Wm. Farrington — Defendant.

Action for goods, wares & merchandise

Demand $299 00

J. G. Rhodes
Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Oct. 26	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Coleman Constable.
Dec. 9	Summons returned & filed showing service on the defendant Wm. Farrington in the County of Santa Clara on Nov. 14, 1892.
Dec. 15	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant Wm. Farrington in the County of Santa Clara on Nov. 14, 1892; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all and the legal time therefor to-wit: Thirty days, has fully expired now therefore on motion of J. G. Rhodes Esq., defendant Wm. Farrington's default is duly entered; and on further motion of said J. G. Rhodes Esq. judgment is ordered & entered in favor of the plaintiff Calvin Bigelow and against the defendant Wm. Farrington for the sum of $299 00/100 dollars and for the sum of $15 65/100 costs of suit.
	Justice fee $6.95 Pd. Total judgment 314 65/100
	Constable fee 8.70 Done in Open Court Dec. 15, 1892
	$15.65 Daniel R. Palmer.
	Paid Rhodes 3.30 Const fee Justice of the Peace.
1892 Dec. 16	Execution issued and delivered to J. G. Rhodes, Esq.
1893 Feby 4	Above Execution returned wholly satisfied

The within judgment wholly satisfied this 4th day of Feby 1893.
D. R. Palmer
By Austin Justice of the Peace

No. 141

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. J. Truman and C. C. Hooker — Plaintiff

vs.

William Henry — Defendant

Action on a note

Demand $ 139.20 + int @ 1½% per mo. from Oct. 26, 92 + $30 Atty fee.

L. L. Cory — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Oct 28 1892	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
Nov. 30	Summons returned + filed showing personal service on the defendant William Henry of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 6th Township of Fresno County on Nov. 28, 1892

No 142

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

William Dunlop
Plaintiff.

vs.

Chas. Crutchfield
Defendant.

Action for cork wages + 2 mares.

Demand $ 355.00

William Dunlop
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1892 Oct.	28	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. E. Arlington Constable (W. S. Crutchfield deputy)
"	29	Summons returned + filed without service.
"	"	On motion of the Plaintiff, the above action is this day dismissed + costs paid. David R. Prince Justice of the Peace.
		B Kaulsberg et al as H H Boren et al } from p 144.
1893 Jany	4	Execution issued and delivered to ~~Wm Shaw~~ Geo Matheson Constable
Mch	28	Execution returned and filed wholly unsatisfied
"	29	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable
May	28	Execution returned and filed wholly satisfied

Rec'd copy Justice fees.

No. 143

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jos. Burns, Plaintiff

vs.

Junction Market, Defendant

Action 2 for meats sold & delivered

Demand $206 30/100

H. L. Ewing Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Oct 29	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
Dec. 9	Summons returned & filed showing service on the defendant the Junction Market by serving the manager thereof Chas. M. deCarlow in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Dec. 7th, 1892
" 13	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant the Junction Market by the said officer personally delivering the said copies to Chas. M. deCarlow the manager thereof; and it appearing that the said defendant has not answered in this action nor made any appearance at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days, has fully expired now therefore on motion made defendant's default is hereby entered; and on further motion judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Jos. Burns and against the defendant the Junction Market for the sum of $206 30/100 debt and for the sum of $12 45/100 costs of suit. Justice fee $6.95 Constables fee 5.50 12.45 Total judgment $218 25/100 Done in open Court Dec. 13, 1892. Daniel R. Prince Justice of the Peace.
Dec. 1892 13	Writ of execution issued & delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.

Paid my Justice fee, D. R. Prince

No. 144

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Benjamin F. Kohlberg and Frank Cavagnaro Plaintiff

vs.

John Wordsen and Henry H. Boren Defendant

Action for goods & merchandise

Demand $120 25/100 + int. fr. July 19, 91

J. C. Kinsel Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1892		
Oct.	31	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
Nov.	1st	Summons returned & filed showing service on defendant John Wordsen on Oct. 31st, 92 and on defendant Henry H. Boren on Nov. 1st, 92 in the 3rd Township of Fresno County.
"	3	Defendant H. H. Boren this day enters a general denial (oral) to plaintiffs' complaint.
"	10	The above action set for trial on Nov. 23, 1892 at 2 P.M. and the parties at interest notified thereof. A subpoena for witnesses this day issued.
"	23	The above subpoena returned & filed.
"	"	At the hour set the defendant & the defendant Henry H. Boren is present but owing to the sickness of the attorney for the plaintiffs the trial of the above action is postponed indefinitely.
Dec.	3	The trial of the above action is set for Dec. 19, 1892 at 3.30 P.M. & the parties at interest notified thereof.
"	19	Defendant John Wordsen this day files a written answer, claiming to be released by virtue of the Insolvent act.
"	"	At the hour set the parties at interest and the trial proceeds: John Wordsen, Sen. Risting and J. C. Kinsel are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiffs; and the defendant testifies in his own behalf. From the testimony adduced the Court finds for the plaintiffs. Whereupon judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs Benjamin F. Kohlberg and Frank Cavagnaro and against the defendant Henry H. Boren for the sum of $120 25/100 debt and for the sum of $3 25/100 interest and $4 20/100 attorneys fee and $9 55/100 costs of suit. Total judgment $138 05/100 Constables fees $3.60 Justice's fees 6.25 Marshals fee 4.20 $14.05 Clerk in Justice Court Dec. 19, 1892 Daniel H. Prince Justice of the Peace.
1892		
Dec.	19	Defendant granted a five day stay of execution.
"	20	A cost bill this day filed. See fr 142.

May 28, 1893 Execution returned and filed satisfied

1893

No. 145

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Tong Chuck and Tong Sing — Plaintiff

vs.

John Wordon and Henry H. Boren — Defendant

Action for rent

Demand $180.00 + int. from Aug 4, 91

John C. Lenel — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1892 Oct.	31	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. T. Ackman Constable.
Nov.	1st	Summons returned & filed showing service on defendant John Wordon on Oct. 31, 92 and defendant Henry H. Boren on Nov. 1st, 92 in the 3rd Township of Fresno County.
..	3	Defendant H. H. Boren enters a general demurrer (oral) to Plaintiff's complaint.
..	10	The above action set for trial on Nov. 23, 1892 at 3 P. M. and the parties at interest notified thereof. A subpoena for witnesses this day issued
..	23	The above subpoena returned & filed.
..	..	At the hour set the defendant Henry H. Boren is present but owing to the sickness of the attorney for the plaintiffs the trial of the above action is postponed indefinitely.
Dec.	3	The trial of the above action is set for Dec. 19, 92 at 3.30 P. M. & the parties at interest notified thereof. (Defendant John Wordon this day files a written consent to such postponement)
..	19	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: John Wordon, Ed. Risting and Tong Chuck are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiffs & the defendant testifies in his own behalf. From the testimony adduced the Court finds for the plaintiffs. Whereupon judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs Tong Chuck and Tong Sing and against the defendant Henry H. Boren for the sum of $180.00/100 debt, and $4.20/100 interest, and for the sum of $12.05/100 costs of suit.

Justices fee $ 6.25
Constables fee 3.60
$ 9.85
Witness fee 2.20
$ 12.05

Total judgment $ 196.25/100

Done in open Court Dec. 19, 1892

Dante R. Prince

Justice of the Peace.

..	19	Defendant granted a stay of execution for five days.
..	20	A cost bill this day filed
1893 Jany	4	Execution issued and delivered to Leo Matheson Constable
March	28	Execution returned and filed wholly unsatisfied
"	29	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable

May 28, 1893 Execution returned and filed wholly unsatisfied

No. 146

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mary J. McKay
Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Hodge
Defendant

Action for board & lodging

Demand $ 60.00

M. Parker
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Nov. 1st	Complaint & affidavit & undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ackman Constable (Jas. A. Ward deputy.)
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
" "	Summons returned & filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Charles Hodge of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 1st, 1892.
" 5	Defendant Chas. Hodge this day came into Court acknowledges that the sum of sixty dollars sued for by plaintiff is justly due and owing and confesses judgment: Wherefore judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Mary J. McKay and against the defendant Charles Hodge for the sum of Sixty Dollars debt and for the sum of $11.20/100 dollars costs of suit.
	Justice fee $6.00 — Total judgment $71.20/100
	Constable fee 5.20 — Given in Open Court Nov. 5, 1892.
	11.20
	Daniel R. Turner
	Justice of the Peace.
1892 Nov. 17	Execution issued and delivered to J. G. Ackman Constable.
" 24	" returned & filed partly satisfied in the sum of $12.00

No. 147

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. P. Whitaker — Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Bonner, M. J. Gaynor, Geo. Roeding & M. McCormick — Defendant

Action for work & labor done

Demand $ 63 00

Thompson & King — Attorney for Plaintiff

L. W. Moultrie — Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	
Nov.	1892 1st	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable	1.25
"	5	A general denial (oral) this day entered by the defendant Geo. Roeding.	2.50
"	7	A written demurrer this day filed for defendant Chas Bonner by his attorney L. L. Cory, Esq.	2.50
"	14	The above demurrer set for argument at 1 P. M. on Nov. 21st, 1892 & the parties at interest notified thereof	1.50
"	21	At the hour set the plaintiff is present and the defendant not being present nor appearing for more than one hour thereafter the Court on motion of plaintiff overrules defendant Bonner's demurrer and gives the said defendant two days in which to answer	1.50
"	23	Defendant Bonner by his attorney enters a general denial (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.	2.50
Dec.	3	The above action set for trial on Dec. 15, 92 at 1.30 P. M. and the parties at interest notified thereof.	1.50
"	15	On motion of defendant the trial of the above action is continued until Dec. 27, 1892 at 1.30 P. M.	1.50
"	27	On motion of L. W. Moultrie attorney for defendant the trial of the above action is indefinitely postponed.	1.50 / 4.50
Jan	4	On motion of defts atty cause set for trial Jan 7, at 1-30 P. M.	50
"	5	Subpoena issued on part of plaintiff	2.50
"	7	Above subpoena returned and filed showing service	2.50
"	7	1-30 P. M. The parties all present and the trial proceeds. S. K. Lemmon and Edward Stewart are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and Charles Bonner and Geo Roeding are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendants, the cause is argued and submitted and ordered taken under advisement	3.75
"	21	The Court this day finds for the plaintiff in the sum of $49 00	

See pg 152

No. 148

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. Evingen — Plaintiff

vs

C. B. Pond — Defendant

Action for money paid

Demand $ 40.00

Strother & Webb — Attorneys for Plaintiff

Thompson & King — Attorneys for Defendant

Rec'd in Justice's Office from Plff. Atty $5.00

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Nov.	1892 2	Complaint filed and summons issued & delivered to J. E. Ashman Constable.
"	23	Summons returned & filed showing personal service by the Officer on the defendant C. B. Pond of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Nov. 23, 1892.
"	28	Defendant this day by his attorneys Messrs Thompson & King enters a general denial (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.

No. 149

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fred Gans
Plaintiff

vs.

O. B. Mosher
Defendant

Action for value

Demand $ 162 50

O. M. Eaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Nov. 3	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable (H. P. Pooken deputy)
Dec 8	Summons returned & filed showing service on the defendant O. B. Mosher in the County of Tulare on Dec. 7, 1892.

150

No 150

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. S Tannahill

Plaintiff

vs.

W. F. Beck Albert Beck and Edgar A. Cohen partners doing business under the firm name and style of W. F. Beck & Co and Edgar A. Cohen

Defendant

Action for work & labor done

Demand $ 127 50

Frank H. Short

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Nov. 3	Complaint filed; and L. L. Cory, Esq. attorney for the defendants files written agreement to waive service of summons & appear within five days.
" 7	A written demurrer this day filed for defendants by L. L. Cory, Esq. atty-at-law.

No. 151

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. Weilheimer — Plaintiff

vs.

W. Gad and Mrs. W. Gad his wife — Defendant

Action on a note

Demand $191.25 + int. @ 1% per mo. from Sept. 14, 1892 on. guar., + 25.00 atty's fee.

Geo. E. Church — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Nov. 9 (costs pd by plff.)	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to.
" 14	Summons returned & filed without service.
" 26	Writ of attachment returned & filed without levy.

No. 152

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Nick Petcovich — Plaintiff

vs.

Harry S. Clipson — Defendant

Action for money advanced &

Demand $ 100 00

Geo. A. Monroe
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Nov. 9	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Coleman Constable (J. A. Ward deputy)
Dec. 28	The above action this day dismissed on motion of Plaintiff & costs paid. Chester R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

Whittaker vs Bonner et al } From pg 147.

Wherefore it is ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff J. P. Whitaker do have and recover judgment against the defendants Charles Bonner and George Roeding for the sum of $ 49.26 debt and costs of suit taxed at $27.15,

Total judgment $.76.15

Done in open Court this 21st day of January 893.

Paid Clerk 15 Paid Cash 76 Cents

H. Z. Austin
Justice of the Peace.

Justices fees 8.20
Constables " 11.70
Witness " 7.20
27.15

Feby 25 The above judgment of Whittaker vs Bonner et al is this day satisfied in full by payment from F. Roeding.

Rec'd Bail in Error from Plff

No. 153

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Rec'd Bill in favor from Plff's Atty's

Cornaho Emmons & Co.
(a corporation)
Plaintiff

vs.

A. Cadwell
Defendant

Action on a note.

Demand $ 144.20 + int @ 1% per mo. fr. Jan. 15, 92 cum. quar. + 20% attys fee. D. M. Leatherman
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Nov. 11	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. E. Ashman Constable. (H. P. T. Jacobsen deputy)

No. 154

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John C. Nourse — Plaintiff

vs.

F. T. Hilton — Defendant

Action replevin suit.

Demand $ a wagon & $80 Cts

Geo. A. Nourse — Attorney for Plaintiff

J. G. Edwards — Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	
1892			
Dec.	11	Complaint filed.	
"	31	The defendant this day appears by his attorney J.G. Edwards and enters a general (oral) denial to plaintiffs complaint	100
1893			
Jany	5	On motion of Defts Atty cause set for trial Jan 12. 1892 at 10 A.M. & parties all notified	50
"	5	Subpoena issued on behalf of the defendant.	25
"	12	Above subpoena returned and filed	25
"	12	At the time set all parties are present in Court with their attorneys and announce themselves ready for trial F.F Wilhams, and John C Nourse sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and F.T. Hilton sworn and testifies in behalf of the defendant. The testimony being closed the cause is argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.	
Jany 1893	19	The Court this day finds for the plaintiff. Wherefore it is ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff have judgment against the defendant as prayed for, and judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff John C. Nourse and against the defendant F.T. Hilton, for the delivery to plaintiff by said defendant of one four-horse wagon, and in case delivery thereof cannot be had then for the sum of Eighty dollars which the Court finds to be the value of said wagon, and for costs of suit herein taxed at $. 6 45	4 45

Costs Paid Jan 24, 1893

Done in open Court this 19th day of January 1893.

H.Z. Austin

Justice of the Peace.

No. 155

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Chas. C. Baby — Plaintiff

vs.

William Berry — Defendant

Action Replevin suit

Demand $ 100 00 for mare & colt + 50 00 damages

S. G. Edwards
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Nov 12 1892	Complaint and affidavit this day filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.

156

No. 156

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Sarah Spencer
Plaintiff.

vs.

Ed. Martin
Defendant.

Action for work & labor done

Demand $ 161.00

Strother & Webb
Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Nov. 14 1892	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.

No. 157

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. K. Washburn
Plaintiff

vs.

Arch. Wilkinson
Defendant

Action for hay & grain sold.

Demand $ 15.00

W. K. Washburn
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Nov. 14 1892	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ackman Constable.
" 16	Summons returned & filed.
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed
" "	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs paid.

David C. Prince
Justice of the Peace

158

No. 158

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Iver Hansen — Plaintiff

vs.

Hans Knudsen — Defendant

Action for money expended & goods sold.

Demand $ 125 00

J. E. Overlittle, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Dec. 1892 14	Complaint filed and also affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. F. Ashman Constable.
" 21	Summons returned & filed showing personal service of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Dec. 19th, 1892 on the defendant Hans Knudsen.
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy
" 23	Defendant this day through his attorney Geo. Hood Esq. enters a general denial (oral) to plaintiffs complaint.
Dec 2	The above action set for trial at 1.30 P.M. Dec. 12, 192
" 12	By consent of the parties interested the trial of the above action is postponed until 9 A.M. Dec. 21st, 1892.
" 21	At the hour set the plaintiff is present with his attorney J. E. Overlittle Esq.; and the defendant herein not appearing at the time set nor for more than one hour thereafter the Court orders plaintiff to prove his case. Thereupon Iver Hansen is sworn and testifies. From the testimony adduced the Court finds for the plaintiff. Wherefore judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Iver Hansen and against the defendant Hans Knudsen for the sum of $125 00 debt and for the sum of $36 35 costs of suit.
	Total judgment $161 15/100
	Justice's fee $ 7.75 — Done in Open Court Dec. 21, 1892.
	Constable fee $ 8.40 — Dante R. Rowe
	$16.15 — Justice of the Peace
1896 Oct 19	Execution issued and delivered to Henry Constable (D.H.)

Writ issued Dec. 21, 1892. Constable's fees

Pd to Ashman

No. 159

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

N. C. Hansen
Plaintiff

vs.

Hans Knudsen
Defendant

Action for money paid

Demand $44.66

J. E. Woolette.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo. L. Hood
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Nov. 14	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ackman Constable.
" 15	Answer and counter claim this day filed by Geo. L. Hood, Esq. attorney for the defendant.
" 16	Summons returned & filed showing service
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
" 19	The above action set for trial on Nov. 21st, 92 @ 10 A. M. & the parties at interest notified thereof
" 21	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: N. C. Hansen & H. Larsen are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff; and Hans Knudsen and Jens Rasmussen are sworn and testify in behalf of the defense. The testimony is closed and the Court finds for the plaintiff. Whereupon judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff N. C. Hansen and against the defendant Hans Knudsen for the sum of $22 86/100 and for the sum of $18 00/100 costs of suit. Justice fee = 9.50 Constable fee = 9.30 $18.80 Total judgment $41 66/100 Done in Open Court Nov. 21, 92 Justice of the Peace.
1892 Nov. 21	Execution issued & delivered to J. G. Ackman Constable.
" 28	" returned & filed fully satisfied.

No. 160

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John C. Morse — Plaintiff

vs

B. S. Kirkland — Defendant

Action: for moneys sold & delivered.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1892		
Nov.	18	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. E. Adkinson Constable.
1893	26	Writ of attachment returned & filed without answer. 9.50
Nov	17	Alias Summons issued
"	24	Alias Writ of attachment issued
Dec	18	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy
1894		
Mch	22	Appearance of defendant filed.
"	28	It appearing to the Court from the records on file that the defendant has appeared herein by written appearance and has failed to answer or demur herein as required by law, and the legal time therefor, to wit: five days has fully expired, now on motion of John C. Morse, the plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the said defendant B. S. Kirkland be and the same is hereby entered. On further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for. Whereupon judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff John C. Morse and against the defendant B. S. Kirkland for the sum of $27.25 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $26.75. Total judgment $53.30. Done in open Court this 28th Mch. 1894. H. Z. Austin, Justice of the Peace.
"	28	Abstract of judgment & execution made

Rec'd fee from Sheriff

No. 161

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Lev. Riese — Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs. H. A. Moreland and Joseph Moreland — Defendant

Action assigned a/c

Demand $ 15 00/100

J. E. Ehrlicttle — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Nov. 19	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. H. Ashman Constable (H. J. T. Jacobsen deputy)
" 23	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by H. E. Roberts on the defendants Mrs. H. A. Moreland and Joseph Moreland on Nov. 19, 1892 in the 3rd Township of Fresno County
" 26	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendants Mrs. H. A. Moreland and Joseph Moreland in the Third Township of Fresno County ~~of Fresno County~~ on Nov. 19, 1892; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all and the legal time therefor, to wit: Five days, has fully expired, now therefore on motion of J. E. Ehrlicttle, Esq. attorney for the plaintiff Lev. Riese, the defendants default is hereby entered; and on further motion of the said J. E. Ehrlicttle, Esq. judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Lev. Riese and against the defendants Mrs. H. A. Moreland and Joseph Moreland for the sum of Fifteen Dollars debt and for the sum of Seven and 25/100 Dollars costs of suit. Justice fee $3.95 Constable's fee 3.80 $7.75 Total judgment $22 25/100 Done in Open Court Nov. 26, 1892 Chas. R. Prince, Justice of the Peace.
1892 Dec. 12	Execution issued & delivered to J. H. Ashman Constable

No. 162

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John Wimblad
Plaintiff.

vs.

S. S. Parsons and A. L. Hobbs partners doing business under the firm name of Hobbs & Parsons
Defendant.

Action goods sold & delivered.

Demand $ 115 00

Strother & Webb
Attorney for Plaintiff.

Austin & Thorn
Attorney for Defendant.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Nov. 19	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. E. Eastman Constable.
" 21	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint on the defendant S. S. Parsons on Nov. 19, 1892 and a copy of the summons on the defendant A. L. Hobbs on Nov. 21st, 1892; both defendants having been served in the 3rd Township of Fresno County.
Nov. 23	A written demurrer this day filed for defendants by their attorneys Messrs Austin & Thorn.
" "	The above demurrer set for argument at 9 A. M. Nov. 29, 1892
" 29	By consent of the attorneys for the defendant the above demurrer is this day overruled and the defendant given two days in which to answer.
" "	A written answer this day filed
" "	The above action set for trial on Dec. 2, 92 at 1.30 P. M.
Dec. 1	A subpoena for witnesses this day issued.
" 2	The above subpoena served, returned & filed.
" "	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: John Wimblad & Albert Thorn are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff; and A. L. Hobbs, Jas. A. Gillis, Chas. Hurley, A. Porter and Geo. B. Hobbs are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendants. Whereupon Counsel argue the case and it is by the Court taken under advisement.
" 12	The Court this day finds for the defendants for costs. Wherefore it is by this Court ordered that judgment be and the same is rendered and entered in favor of the defendants S. S. Parsons & A. L. Hobbs and against the plaintiff John Wimblad for the sum of $27 65 costs of suit.

(continued on next page)

Kuper
21 days @ 2.50
($52.50)

No. 163

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. C. Rawlins
Plaintiff

vs.

W. M. Williams
Defendant

Action for work & labor done

Demand $ 97.50

W. D. Grady and Jas. Gallagher
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1892 Nov.	22	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
1893 Feby	16	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy thereof on the defendant in 2nd Township of Fresno Co attached to a copy of the Complaint Feby 16. 1893 J.G.A.
"	16	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy 25
"	20	Now comes defendant by J. R. Webb & answer orally denying each and every allegation in plaintiffs Complaint contained
this	22	Case set for hearing April 18 at 10 A.M.
April	18	Now comes defendant and ask Continuance until May 4 which is granted
May	4	On motion of the plaintiff trial of above cause continued to May 8, 1893 at 2 P.M.
"	8	at the time set the defendant is present with his attorney There is no appearance on the part of the plaintiff, after waiting one full hour the plaintiff and his attorney W. D. Grady are called at the door. There being no answer and the legal time for the appearance of the plaintiff in the trial of this cause having

---

John Wimblad
vs.
S. S. Parsons & A. L. Hobbs

Justice fee $15.25
Constable fee = 3.60
Witness fee = 8.80
$27.65

Continued from opposite page.

Total judgment $27 65/100
Done in Open Court Dec. 12, 92
Dante R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace

1892 Dec. 13 Memorandum of costs this day filed.

Justice's Court Fresno Co Cal

No. 164

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Peter Kearney — Plaintiff

vs.

M. A. Cranmer — Defendant

Action for work & labor done

Demand $ 7.50

Peter Kearney in pro per
Attorney ~~for Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Nov. 25	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. E. Ackerman Constable. (J. C. Ward deputy)
" "	Summons served, returned & filed.
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
" "	Undertaking on release of attachment this day filed.
" 26	Answer and counter claim this day filed.

No. 165

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. R. Johnston
Plaintiff

vs.

C. W. Bruce
Defendant

Action on a note

Demand $42 21/100 + int @ 8% per an. fr. Jan. 9, 92 com. Jan. + $25 Co atty's fee.

D. M. Leatherman
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Nov. 28	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. E. Ashman Constable (S. S. Crutcher deputy)
Dec. 7	Summons returned & filed showing service on the defendant C. W. Bruce in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Dec. 7, 1892
" 12	Defendant this day enters a general demurrer (oral) to plaintiff's complaint.

No. 166

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Con Hurley
Plaintiff.

vs.

C. W. Cutler
Defendant.

Action for a calf & yoke sold & delivered.

Demand $ 18 00

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1892		
Nov.	30	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable. (S. S. Crutcher deputy)
Dec	6	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Dec. 5, 1892, by the said Ashman.
"	20	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff & costs paid. David C. Prince, Justice of the Peace.

No. 163

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A C Rawlins — Plaintiff

vs.

W M Williams — Defendant

Action From p 163

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1893 May 8	fully expired, Now on motion of Judy Stratton Attorney for Defendant the default of the said plaintiff is hereby entered W M Williams is sworn and testifies in his own behalf, from which testimony it appears to the Court that the amount sued for herein has been fully paid, and on motion of said defendant it is hereby ordered that the plaintiff take nothing by this action and that defendant have judgment against the plaintiff for costs— Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the defendant W M Williams and against the plaintiff A C Rawlins for the costs of suit herein taxed at $.70 55 Done in open Court this 8th day of May 1893 H Z Austin Justice of the Peace Justices Costs $9.25 Constables Costs 61.30 70,55

No 168

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Seth S. Culver — Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Matheson — Defendant

Action Replevin suit.

Demand $ One sorrel mare etc.

Wiley J. Timmins Attorney for Plaintiff

Tuppen & Tuppen Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1892 Dec.	6	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
Dec.	12	The defendant by his counsel Tuppen & Tuppen demurs to the complaint on file herein and for cause of demurrer says, that said complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against said defendant
"	21	By agreement of the parties at interest the above demurrer is this day overruled and a written stipulation this day filed.
"	"	Summons served, returned & filed. The trial of the above action set for Dec. 27, 1892 @ 10 A.M.
"	28	A written answer this day filed.
"	"	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: S. S. Culver and J. C. Faben are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff. The defense offers no testimony & the case is argued and submitted. From the stipulation on file and the testimony adduced the Court finds for the defendant. Wherefore judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the defendant Geo. Matheson and against the plaintiff Seth. S. Culver for the return of the following described property to wit: One sorrel mare; one light road wagon; one set of single harness; one buggy robe and one buggy whip all of which are more fully described in the complaint on file herein; or for their value $160.50/100; and for the sum of 12.75/100 costs of suit. Costs in Court Dec. 28, 92 Constable fee $5.30 Justice fee 7.45 12.75 Dane C. Vance, Justice of the Peace.
Dec.	28	Defendant granted a ten day stay of execution.
1893 Jany	6	Notice of Appeal filed by plaintiff 25
"	11	Undertaking on appeal filed by plaintiff 1.00

No. 169

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jacob Weaver — Plaintiff

vs.

Fitzgerald Bros. — Defendant

Action for rent of premises

Demand $ 10 00

J. M. McDonald — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Dec. 6	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. B. Ashman Constable.
" 10	Summons returned & filed showing service on the defendants in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on the 7, 1892.
" 12	A genral demurrer (oral) this day entered.

No. 170

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Wm. Brookes
Plaintiff

vs.

J. L. Farris
Defendant

Action for work & labor done

Demand $ 49.00

J. G. Rhodes
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Nov. 25	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed.
Dec. 7	Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.

No. 121

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S. S. Crutcher — Plaintiff

vs.

Ed Austin — Defendant

Action for Board & Lodging

Demand $ 115.20

S. S. Crutcher in person — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Dec. 9	Complaint filed

172

No. 172

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. C. Harris
Plaintiff.

vs.

D. H. Walter
Defendant.

Action for wood

Demand $ 17.50

C. C. Harris for Pltf.
Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1892 Dec.	12	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to D. H. Aikman Constable.
1893 Jany	14	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by Wm Shaw Constable on the defendant of a copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint in the 3rd Township Jany 14, 1893. — 25

No. 173

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Barrett, Hicks & Co. — Plaintiff

vs.

Burrough & Co. — Defendant

Action for suit & an assigned a/c

Demand $ 57 80

Attorney for Plaintiff

Arthur C. Banta

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Dec. 14	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
" 16	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
" "	Summons returned & filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant W. O. Burrough of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 7th Township of Fresno Co. on Dec. 15, 1892.
Dec. 29	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant Burrough & Co in the 7th Township of Fresno County on Dec. 15, 1892; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all and the legal time therefor has fully expired, now therefore on motion of Arthur C. Banta defendants default is hereby entered; and on further motion of the said Arthur C. Banta judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Barrett, Hicks & Co. and against the defendants Burrough & Co. for the sum of $57 80/100 debt and for the sum of $20 90/100 costs of suit.
	Justice's fee $ 6.95 — Total judgment $ 78 70/100
	Constable's fee 13.95 — Done in Open Court Dec. 29, 1892.
	$ 20.90
	David C. Prine, Justice of the Peace.
1892 Dec. 29	Execution issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.

Recd Justice fee from Pltff

No. 174

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. Scribner
Plaintiff.

vs

Wm. Burrough
Defendant.

Action for goods, ware & merchandise.

Demand $ 92 25

J. P. Henry
Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Dec. 16	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Eastman Constable.
" 21	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
" "	Summons returned & filed showing personal service by the Officer on the defendant Wm. Burrough in the 7th Township of Fresno County on Dec. 16, 1892.
" 29	It appearing to this Court from the return of the Officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served by the said Officer on the said defendant Wm. Burrough in the 7th Township of Fresno County on Dec. 16, 1892; and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all, and the legal time therefor to wit: Ten days, has fully expired, now therefore on motion of plaintiff C. Scribner, defendants default is hereby entered; and on further motion of said plaintiff judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff C. Scribner and against the defendant Wm. Burrough for the sum of $92 25/100 debt and for the sum of $12 45/100 Costs of suit. Justice fee $6.95 Constables fee 5.50 $12.45 Total judgment $104 70/100 Done in open Court Dec. 29, 1892. Daniel R. Timms, Justice of the Peace.
Dec. 29	Execution issued and delivered to J. G. Eastman Constable.

1892 Feby 6. Execution issued
" 17. Execution returned

No. 175

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Alick Arakelian — Plaintiff

vs.

J. Worthington & Mrs. J. Worthington his wife — Defendant

Action for work & labor done

Demand $ 97.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Dec. 17	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
" 19	Summons served, returned and filed.
" "	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
" "	The above action this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and costs paid.

Dante R. Prince,
Justice of the Peace.

E. C. Winchell } Continued from page 176
J. R. Sutton }

1892 Dec. 27	Notice to surrender possession this day filed.
"	The Court being duly advised renders its decision in favor of the plaintiff and files its findings of facts and conclusions of law herein, and orders that judgment be entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant, in accordance therewith and with the prayer of plaintiff's complaint. Wherefore, it is by the Court ordered, adjudged and decreed as follows, to wit: First:- That the plaintiff E. C. Winchell, do have and recover of the defendant J. R. Sutton the sum of $37 59/100 trebled, to wit,- the aggregate sum of $112 77/100 with interest from this date until paid at the rate of 7% per annum, and with his costs herein expended and taxed at the sum of $ 9 25/100 Second:- That said plaintiff do have and recover of said defendant J. R. Sutton, the restitution of the possession of the tenement and premises sued for in this action and situated in the County of Fresno, in the State of California, and particularly described as follows, to wit: The room no. 5 in the second floor of the building commonly known as the Post-Office building situated on the south corner of Fresno and J Streets, being situated on Block nos. 1 and 2 in Block 91 in the City of Fresno, County of Fresno, State of California as per official map of said City, and that plaintiff may have his writ of restitution of the possession thereof. Third:- It is further ordered, adjudged & decreed that the said lease and agreement made and by virtue of which said defendant J. R. Sutton has possession of the above described premises, be, and the same is hereby declared forfeited and at an end.

Costs in the Court Dec. 27, 1892

Dante R. Prince
Justice of the Peace,
of said Township.

Constable's fee $ 2.00
Justice's fee 7.25
$ 9.25

1893 Feby 6. Execution issued
" 17. Execution returned wholly unsatisfied & filed.
1893 Feb 1st, 193 Rec'd $ 7.25 Justice fees from Plaintiff

No. 176

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. C. Winchell — Plaintiff

vs

J. R. Sutter — Defendant

Action for rent due & retention of premises.

Demand $ 37.50

E. C. Winchell Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Dec. 17	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ackman Constable.
" 19	Summons returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant J. R. Sutter in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on Dec. 17, 1892.
" 21	A written demurrer this day filed by the defendant.
" 23	The above demurrer set for argument at 11 A. M. Dec. 24, 1892 & the parties at interest notified thereof.
" 24	At the hour set the parties at interest are present. By consent of defendant his demurrer is overruled. The Court thereupon orders defendant to file his answer herein this day.
" 24	Defendant this day through his attorney L. R. Hart Esq. enters a general denial oral to plaintiff's complaint.
" 27	Now comes the plaintiff in person and no verified answer having been filed by the defendant on Saturday the 24th day of Dec. 1892 as directed by this Court or at any time since, the plaintiff moves that the general denial of the defendant not verified entered in the 24th day of Dec. 1892 be now set aside and defendant not appearing in Court either in person or by counsel the said motion is granted and the said general denial is set aside and stricken out. And on the further motion of the plaintiff the default of the defendant in not filing a verified answer to the verified complaint of the plaintiff is hereby duly entered. Thereupon E. C. Winchell and Jil C. Winchell are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and no testimony being offered in behalf of the plaintiff the cause is submitted to the Court for its findings and judgment.
" 27	Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law this day filed.

(Continued on page 178)

No. 177

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

2.90 Cost

C. C. Jarrett — Plaintiff

vs.

George W. Fuller and S. A. Smith — Defendant

Action in a note

Demand $199.00 with int. @ 1½% per mo. fr. Nov. 19 92. + $35.00 atty's fee.

S. G. Edwards Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Dec. 20	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
1893 Mch 31	The above action is this day dismissed the demand and all costs being paid. H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace.

No. 178

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. Chisholm & Jones
Plaintiff

vs

W. F. Cannon
Defendant

Action for rent

Demand $ 10.00

C. Chisholm
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1892 Dec.	21	Complaint filed.
"	28	Affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. E. Atkinson Constable.
1893 Jany	5	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing service on S.P. Co and no answer to same-
"	14	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on the defendant W F Cannon of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in 3rd Township on Jany 14, 1893.
"	20	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the signal summons that a true copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the said defendant W.F. Cannon in the 3rd Township of Fresno Co Cal on Jany 14, 1893, and it further appearing that the said defendant, has not appeared or answered herein in any manner, and the legal time for such appearance, to wit: five days has expired, Now therefore on Motion of C. Chisholm, atty for Plffs- the default of the defendant W F Cannon is hereby entered and on further motion of the said C. Chisholm It is adjudged and decreed that the said plaintiffs C. Chisholm & Jones do have and recover of and from the defendant W.F. Cannon the sum of ($10) ten dollars debt together with their costs of suit, taxed at $ 14.65 Total Judgment $. 24.65 Done in open Court this 20th day of Jany 1893 Justices fees 5.95 Constables fees 8.70 " 1.60 " 9.50 $24.15 Az Austin Justice of the Peace
Jany	30	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable 1.00
Mch	30	Execution returned wholly unsatisfied Costs .25
June	10	Abstract of judgment made and delivered to Wm Shaw 1.00
June	22	The above judgment is satisfied in full this day Az Austin Justice of the Peace

No. 179

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Portens — Plaintiff

vs.

W. Shortridge — Defendant

Action on a note & for sucke.

Demand $

J. E. Tholittle — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Dec. 21	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued & delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable. (H. J. T. Jacobsen deputy)
" 30	Summons returned & filed showing service on the defendant W. Shortridge in the 2nd Township of Fresno County on the 27, 1892. by Office Nicholas Burton.
1893 Jany 5	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy
" 7	It appearing to the Court from the return of the Officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served by the said officer on the said defendant Wm Shortridge in the 2nd Township of Fresno County on the 27th day of Dec 1892 and it appearing that the said defendant has not appeared or answered herein or at all and the legal time therefor, to wit ten days has expired, now therefore, on motion of J. E. Tholittle the Atty for plaintiff, the default of the defendant is hereby entered, and on further motion of the Atty for plaintiff
	It is ordered adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff James Portens do have and recover of and from the defendant W Shortridge, judgment for the sum of $96.88 principal, together with $15.00 Attorneys fee and costs of suit taxed at $. 15.85
	Total judgment $.
	Done in open Court this 7th day of Jany 1893.
	H. G. Austin
	Justice of the Peace
	Justice fees 5.95
	Constables fees 9.90
	15.85
Jany 10	Execution issued
" 10	Execution returned and filed wholly satisfied

No. 180

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S. Shamarian
Plaintiff

vs.

John Arakelian
Defendant

Action In person rendered

Demand $ 42 00

J. G. Rhodes,
Attorney for Plaintiff

J. C. Kling
Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1892		
Dec.	21	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable.
"	24	Summons served, returned and filed in the 3rd Township of Fresno County.
	27	A written answer and cross complaint this day filed by defendant
1893		
Jan	4	On motion of the plaintiff the above entitled cause is set for trial on Jan 6. 1893, atty for deft consenting in open Court.
"	5	Subpoena for plaintiffs witnesses issued
"	5	Subpoena for defendants witnesses issued
"	5	Subpoena & plaintiff returned and filed showing service on Advis Vartanian.
"	6	Suppoena for plff issued for John Serapian.
"	6	Subpoenas for plaintiffs returned and filed showing service
"	6	at the day and hour set all parties are present and announce themselves ready for trial, and the trial proceeds. S Shamarian, J. McSerapian, John Manarrian and Jacob Shamarian sworn & testify in behalf of plaintiffs. John Arckalian, B. H. Paul, Mrs B H Paul, B Keradmigian & John Arckalian are sworn and testify in behalf of deft. and now after having duly considered thereof the court finds for the defendant in the sum of $ 6 50 on his cross Complaint

Whereupon it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that the defendant John Arckelian do have and recover of and from the defendant S Shamarian, judgment for the sum of Six & 50/100 and his costs of suit taxed at $ 27.25

Done in open Court this 6th day of Janry 1893

H. Z. Austin
Justice of the Peace

Justice fees $. 9.45
Constables fees. $ 2.80
Witness fees $ 12.00
Interpreter 3.00
$ 27.25

60-0
33.75
12
21.75
9.45
12.30

Feb 6. 1893. Rec'd on Judgt #10 2.50

The within Judgment satisfied in full this 9th day of Mch 1893
H. Z. Austin
By

No. 181

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. L. Hotchkiss — Plaintiff

vs.

J. S. Ambrose and E. M. Ambrose — Defendant

Action on a note

Demand $48.85 + int. fr. April 15, 92 @ 10% per annum

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Dec. 22	Complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to J. G. Ashman Constable

No. 182

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. F. McDonald — Plaintiff

vs

George Brothers — Defendant

Action for an a/c

Demand $ 35.00/100

H. M. Eaton — Attorney for Plaintiff

Frank H. Short — Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS	
1892 Dec.	28	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. S. Ashman Constable.	
1893 Jan	3	Now comes F H Short Atty for Defts & demurs orally to plffs complaint, on the ground that the same does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.	25
Jany	6	Above demurrer set for argument on motion of plffs Counsel for Jany 9. 1893. at 9-30. 9 M & Mr Short notified.	50
"	9	The above demurrer being submitted without argument is overruled and two days given defendant within which to answer	50
"	11	On motion of F H Short and good cause appearing It is ordered that the defendant have three days from this date within which to file his answer herein	50
"	11	On motion of plaintiffs above cause set for trial Jan 17. 1893, at 9 A.M.	50.
"	14	Defendant files answer and cross complaint	25
"	17	Subpoena for four witnesses issued for plffs	1.00
"	17	above subpoena returned and filed.	25
"	17	All parties in Court: On motion of dept. cause continued to Jany 25. 9 a.m	50
"	25	On motion of plff trial of Cause continued to Jany 30. 1893 at 9 A.M.	50
"	30	At the time set all parties are present and announce themselves ready for trial and thereupon the trial proceeds J f McDonald is sworn and testifies in his own behalf and Sargis George, John George, A Kodzo, W J Gordon and J Rophero are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendants, and S Waldenberg & Best & Rader are sworn and testify for plaintiff in rebuttal, whereupon, the evidence being closed the cause is submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.	
Feby	6	The court this day finds for the plaintiff in the sum of $ 35.00 Whereupon It is ordered and adjudged that the	3.25

No. 79. 186

No. 183

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. J. Harbert — Plaintiff

vs.

R. F. Dickerson — Defendant

Action for work & labor done.

Demand $ 91.40

J. G. Rhodes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Jas Gallagher — Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Dec. 31st	Complaint and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment this day filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Rhodes
1893 Jany 5	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint herein on the defendant R. F. Dickerson in the 3rd Township of Fresno County Cal on the 5th day of Jany 1893
" 5	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy.
" 5	Exception to sureties on attachment bond filed
" 5	Motion to dismiss attachment filed
" 5	A general demurrer to plaintiffs complaint filed by defendant by his attorneys W D Grady & Jas Gallagher
" 10	Sureties on attachment bond justified, or new bonds filed this day
" 10	Motion to dismiss attachment denied.
" 10	Demurrer to plaintiffs complaint sustained.
" 10	Amended complaint this day filed -
" 10	Defendant answers orally denying each and every material allegation in said complaint contained
" 10	by agreement of attorneys in open Court cause set for trial this evening Jan 10th 1893, at 7-30 P. M.
" 10	At the time set all the parties present and announce themselves ready for trial, T J Harbert, C. B. Hartness and Gilbert Frye sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and R F Dickinson sworn & testifies in behalf of defendant. At the close of the testimony cause is argued by respective Counsel and judgment ordered for the plaintiff in the sum of $38.60
	Wherefore it is ordered, and adjudged that the plaintiff T. J Harbert do have and recover judgment of and from the defendant R F Dickinson for the sum of thirty eight & 60/100 dollars, and for costs of suit, taxed at $. 49.50

To Page 185

No. 184

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Grandison and Alexander
Plaintiff

vs.

Alice Parker
Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand $ 170.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1892 Dec. 30	Complaint filed.

No. 183 - Continued

In the Justice's Court of ..... Township,

COUNTY OF ..... STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. J. Hackett, Plaintiff	Action .....
vs.	Demand $ .....
R. F. Dickinson, Defendant	Attorney ... for Plaintiff ...
	Attorney ... for Defendant ...

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
	Total Judgment $88.10 Done in open Court this 10th day of Jany 1893 H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace
	Witness fees 4.40 Justices " 16.20 Keeper " 22.50 Constable " 6.40 / 8.90 $49.50
Jany 12	Notice of appeal this day filed.
Feby 3	Undertaking on appeal this day filed—

186

From pg 182

No. 182 Continued

In the Justice's Court of ..... Township,

COUNTY OF ..... STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

3.00
1.90
1.50
6.60
6.00

J F McDonald

Plaintiff

vs.

George Brockus.

Defendant

Action .....

Demand $ .....

Attorney ..... for Plaintiff

Attorney ..... for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1893 Feby 6	Plaintiff J F McDonald do have and recover judgment of and from the defendants George Brockus, viz Barker & George and Dennis & George for the sum of thirty five dollars and for his costs of suit herein taxed at $31.70 10c
	Total Judgment $66.70
	Done in Open Court this 6th day of Feby 1893
	Jo Austin
	Justice of the Peace.
" 6	A stay of execution for 5 days agreed upon by the respective attorneys in open court.
" 11	Notice of appeal filed
" 11	Undertaking on appeal filed.

Justices fees 11.90
Constables fees 12.50
Witness fees 6.60
31.70

No.

In the Justice's Court of ..... Township,

COUNTY OF ..... STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

.....

.....

.....

Plaintiff

vs.

.....

.....

Defendant

Action .....

.....

Demand $ .....

.....

Attorney ... for Plaintiff .....

.....

Attorney ... for Defendant .....

DATE	PROCEEDINGS

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of ..... Township,

COUNTY OF ..... STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

.....
.....
..... Plaintiff....
vs.
.....
.....
..... Defendant....

Action .....
.....
Demand $ .....
.....
Attorney ..... *for Plaintiff* .....
.....
Attorney ..... *for Defendant* .....

DATE	PROCEEDINGS

BLANK PAGES
SKIPPED

No. .....

In the Justice's Court of ..... Township,

COUNTY OF ..... STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

.....
.....
..... Plaintiff....
vs
.....
..... Defendant....

Action .....
.....
Demand $ .....
.....
Attorney ..... for Plaintiff .....
.....
Attorney ..... for Defendant .....

DATE	PROCEEDINGS

Page 28—
Sen 22—